

COLLECTIVE EXPERT APPRAISAL:

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Regarding the expert appraisal on recommending occupational exposure limits for chemical agents

On the evaluation of biomarkers of exposure and recommendation of biological reference values for 1,3-butadiene

[CAS No: 106-99-0]

This document summarises the work of the Expert Committees on health reference values (HRV Committee) and on expert appraisal for recommending occupational exposure limits for chemical agents (OEL Committee) and the Working Group on biomarkers (Biomarkers WG).

Presentation of the issue

On 12 June 2007, AFSSET, which became ANSES in July 2010, was requested by the Directorate General for Labour to carry out the necessary assessment for setting occupational exposure limits for 1,3-butadiene.

This request was entrusted to ANSES's OEL Committee which, in June 2010, issued a report in which it estimated the additional risk of leukaemia deaths (for a scenario of occupational exposure to 1,3-butadiene based on 8 hours per day, 240 days per year over 45 years of employment; probability calculated up to 70 years of age) was estimated to be:

- 10⁻⁴ for 45 years of exposure to a concentration of 0.08 mg.m⁻³
- 10⁻⁵ for 45 years of exposure to a concentration of 0.008 mg.m⁻³
- 10⁻⁶ for 45 years of exposure to a concentration of 0.0008 mg.m⁻³.

France currently has no occupational exposure limits (over 8 hours or 15 minutes) for this substance.

ANSES decided to supplement its expert appraisal by assessing the biological monitoring data in the occupational environment for 1,3-butadiene, in order to establish the relevance of recommending monitoring of one or more biomarkers in addition to the OEL and the establishment of biological limit values for the selected biomarker(s).

Scientific background

Biological monitoring of exposure in the workplace has emerged as a complementary method to atmospheric metrology for assessing exposure to chemical agents. Biological monitoring assesses a worker's exposure by including all the routes by which a chemical penetrates the body (lung, skin, digestive tract). It is particularly worthwhile when a substance has a systemic effect, and:





- when routes other than inhalation contribute significantly to absorption,
- and/or when the pollutant has a cumulative effect,
- and/or when the working conditions (personal protection equipment, inter-individual differences in respiratory ventilation, etc.) determine large differences in internal dose that are not taken into account by atmospheric metrology.

With regard to prevention of chemical risk in the workplace, the French Labour Code provides for the use of biological monitoring of exposure and biological limit values.

Committee definitions

Biomarker of exposure (BME): parent substance, or one of its metabolites, determined in a biological matrix, whose variation is associated with exposure to the agent targeted. Biomarkers of early and reversible effects are included in this definition when they can be specifically correlated to occupational exposure.

Biological limit value (BLV): This is the limit value for the relevant biomarkers.

Depending on the available data, the recommended biological limit values do not all have the same meaning:

- if the body of scientific evidence is sufficient to quantify a dose/response relationship with certainty, the biological limit values (BLVs) will be established on the basis of health data (no effect for threshold substances or risk levels for non-threshold carcinogens);
- in the absence of such data for substances with threshold effects, BLVs are calculated on the basis of the expected concentration of the biomarker of exposure (BME) when the worker is exposed to the 8-hour OEL. For carcinogens, in the absence of sufficient quantitative data, the biological limit value is calculated on the basis of another effect (pragmatic BLV). These last values do not guarantee the absence of health effects, but aim to limit exposure to these substances in the workplace.

Whenever possible, the Committee also recommends biological reference values (BRVs). These correspond to concentrations found in a general population whose characteristics are similar to those of the French population (preferentially for biomarkers of exposure) or in a control population not occupationally exposed to the substance under study (preferentially for biomarkers of effects).

These BRVs cannot be considered to offer protection from the onset of health effects, but do allow a comparison with the concentrations of biomarkers assayed in exposed workers. These values are particularly useful in cases where it is not possible to establish a BLV (ANSES, 2017).

Organisation of the expert appraisal

ANSES entrusted examination of this request to the Expert Committee on expert appraisal for recommending occupational exposure limits for chemical agents (OEL Committee) and then to the Health reference values Committee. The Agency also mandated the Working Group on biomarkers (Biomarkers WG) for this expert appraisal.

The methodological and scientific aspects of the work of this group were regularly submitted to the Committees. The report produced by the working group takes account of observations and additional information provided by the members of the Committees.



This expert appraisal was therefore conducted by a group of experts with complementary skills. It was carried out in accordance with the French Standard NF X 50-110 "Quality in Expertise Activities".

Preventing risks of conflicts of interest

ANSES analyses interests declared by the experts before they are appointed and throughout their work in order to prevent potential conflicts of interest in relation to the points addressed in expert appraisals.

The experts' declarations of interests are made public on ANSES's website (www.anses.fr).

Description of the method

A rapporteur of the Biomarkers WG produced a summary report on biomarkers of exposure and the recommendation of biological limit values (BLVs) and biological reference values for the BME(s) considered relevant.

The summary report on the BMEs for 1,3-butadiene was based on bibliographical information taking into account the scientific literature published on this substance until 2017. The bibliographical research was conducted in the following databases: Medline, Scopus. The scientific articles selected for evaluating biomonitoring data on 1,3-butadiene were identified using the following keywords: "butadiene", "biomarker", "biomonitoring", "biological monitoring", "urine", "blood", "occupational" while limiting the search to human data.

The rapporteur reassessed the original articles or reports cited as references whenever he considered it necessary, or whenever the Committee requested it.

The report, the summary and conclusions of the collective expert appraisal work were adopted by the Expert Committee on expert appraisal for recommending occupational exposure limits for chemical agents on 4 July 2017.

This collective expert appraisal work and the summary report were submitted to public consultation from 30/01/2018 to 30/03/2018. The people or organizations that contributed to the public consultation are listed in appendix 1 of the report (only available in French). The comments received were reviewed by the Committee on Health Reference Values (term of office 2017-2020) who finally adopted this version on the 9 may 2019.

Result of the collective expert appraisal

Toxicokinetics data

1,3-butadiene (BD) enters the body mainly via the respiratory tract. Absorption is rapid and occurs through passive diffusion from the lungs to the blood. The blood:air partition coefficient (women: 1.46 and men: 1.62) and alveolar ventilation are the major determinants of absorption. The absorbed fraction of 1,3-butadiene is $45.6 \pm 13.9\%$ for men and $43.4 \pm 2.9\%$ for women (Lin *et al.* 2001). Furthermore, age and tobacco smoking reduce lung absorption. In addition, the blood:air partition coefficient (1.57) increases by an average of 20% in subjects with high blood triglyceride levels following the ingestion of a high-fat meal, which can have a significant influence on the dose of butadiene absorbed in the event of exposure (Lin *et al.* 2002). The other routes of absorption (oral and dermal) have not been documented.



According to studies in rodents, 1,3-butadiene and its metabolites are distributed extensively in the tissues from the start of exposure. The highest concentrations, one hour after exposure ended, are measured in the blood, respiratory tract, intestines, liver, kidneys, bladder and pancreas. There are no data available on humans.

For all species, 1,3-butadiene mainly seems to be oxidised by cytochromes P450 action, and then hydrolysed by epoxide hydrolase or oxidised on the second double bond (by CYP2E1s). The metabolites formed can be detoxified via glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) to form mercapturic acids likely to be eliminated in urine (DHBMA: 3.4-dihydroxybutylmercapturic acid, MHBMA: monohydroxybutenylmercapturic acid and THBMA: 1,3,4-trihydroxybutylmercapturic acid). MHBMA is classically considered to be a mixture of two isomers, 1-MHBMA and 2-MHBMA (N-acetyl-S-1-(hydroxymethyl-2-propenyl)-I-cysteine and N-acetyl-S-2-(hydroxymethyl-3-propenyl)-l-cysteine respectively). The existence of a third isomer was recently demonstrated, 3-MHBMA (N-acetyl-S-4-(hydroxy-2-buten-1-yl)-l-cysteine), which seems to predominate compared to 1-MHBMA and 2-MHBMA (Jain et al. 2015, Boyle et al. 2016, Alwis et al. 2012). Haemoglobin adducts in humans, MHBVal (N-(1- and N-(2-hydroxy-3-butenyl)valine), and THBVal (N-(2,3,4-trihydroxybutyl)valine) have also been observed (Osterman-Golkar et al. 1993; Albertini et al. 2003). The formation of a third haemoglobin adduct, Pyr-Val (N,N(2,3dihydroxy-1,4-butadiyl)valine), was also demonstrated in humans by Boysen et al. (2012). The THBVal adduct largely predominates and represents 99.6% of the total of the three types of adducts. Many DNA adducts have been described in vitro; in humans, there are fewer data. DNA adducts have been described in humans: N1-THB-Ade (N-1-(2.3.4-Three trihydroxybutyl)adenine) (Zhao et al. 2000), N7-THB-Gua (N-7-(2,3,4-trihydroxybut-1yl)guanine), the predominant adduct in the in vivo studies, and the adduct N7-HB-Gua (N-7-(1hydroxy-3-buten-2-yl)guanine) in very small quantities (below the limit of quantification) with low stability (Sangaraju et al. 2014).



Figure 1 : metabolism of 1,3-butadiene (adapted from Health Canada 2000)



In monkeys, it has been estimated that 39% of total metabolites are eliminated in the urine, 0.8% in the faeces and 56% in the form of CO_2 exhaled in the 70 hours post-inhalation exposure (Dahl *et al.* 1990). In humans, the rate of excretion of the DHBMA and MHBMA metabolites is classically reported to be > 97% and < 3% respectively (INRS 2012), but the fraction of the inhaled dose is unknown. More recently, it has been shown among smokers that the relative excretion of mercapturic acids is broken down as follows: 93% for DHBMA, 5% for THBMA and 2% for MHBMA (Kotapati *et al.* 2014). Although the elimination kinetics of mercapturic acids in humans are unclear, field studies indicate prolonged elimination that can lead to accumulation over consecutive days of exposure (Albertini et al. 2001, Van Sittert et al. 2000).

Selection of biomarkers of exposure and effect

Biomarkers of exposure (BME)

The analysis of the data in the literature led to nine potential BMEs being identified:

- butadiene in exhaled air, blood and urine
- mercapturic acids in urine: MHBMA, DHBMA and THBMA
- haemoglobin adducts in blood: MHBVal, THBVal
- DNA adducts in blood: N1-THB-Ade, N7-THB-Gua and N7-HB-Gua

The advantages and disadvantages of each BME have been identified.

The 1,3-butadiene measured in exhaled air, blood or urine is specific to exposure to 1,3butadiene but the correlations with atmospheric concentrations of 1,3-butadiene are low and the data are limited.

Concerning urinary mercapturic acids, correlations with atmospheric 1,3-butadiene have been described. People that have not been exposed to 1,3-butadiene have lower baseline levels of MHBMA than DHBMA, which could partly be due to endogenous sources. However, MHBMA includes different isomers that may cause differences in quantification depending on the analytical techniques used. THBMA is a BME representative of a metabolic pathway that leads to the formation of DNA adducts but about which there are few data.

Haemoglobin adducts exhibit correlations with atmospheric concentrations of 1,3-butadiene. MHBVal has very low levels that require a sensitive technique. THBVal is found at higher levels (for the same reasons as DHBMA as well as the potential existence of endogenous sources). These two BMEs are interesting as indicators of cumulative exposure. However, the associated analytical technique is cumbersome.

Concerning DNA adducts, they offer good specificity but the data are limited.

Consequently, the BMEs selected as relevant for the biological monitoring of occupational exposure are the **three mercapturic acids (MHBMA, DHBMA and THBMA)** and the **haemoglobin adducts (MHBVal and THBVal)**.

Biomarkers of effect

The main biomarkers of effect studied for 1,3-butadiene are mutations at the HPRT¹ locus and micro-nuclei. No data are available regarding the link between these indicators and the risk of leukaemia, the critical effect chosen for 1,3-butadiene, moreover there are few usable data on the link between these markers and atmospheric exposure to 1,3-butadiene.

¹ Hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase



In view of these data and the invasive nature of the measurement of these parameters in blood, no biomarker of effect was selected.

Information on biomarkers of exposure identified as relevant for the biomonitoring of exposed workers

| Name | Urinary MHBMA (monohydroxybutenylmercapturic acid) |
|---|--|
| Other substances giving rise to this biomarker of exposure | Chloroprene (Eckert <i>et al.</i> 2013) |



| Concentrations measured in exposed workers or volunteers (with the exposures and sampling times) Mean or Median ^a (Min-Max) or ± SD | Fustinoni et al. 2004Production of BD and polymers: 29 exposed subjects E, 18 non-exposed subjects NEAtmospheric BD: E: 11.5 (< 0.1 - 220.6) μ g/m³, NE: 0.9 (< 1 - 3.8) μ g/m³MHBMA End of Shift (ES): E: 10.5 ± 13.7 μ g/L, NE: 7.5 ± 7.0 μ g/LAlbertini et al. 2007Production of BD: 53 exposed subjects E (23 women, 30 men), and 51 non-exposedsubjects NE (26 women, 25 men)Atmospheric BD: exposed women: 56ª (4 - 219) μ g/m³, exposed men: 241ª (4 - 12,583) μ g/m³, non-exposed men: 4ª (4 - 219) μ g/m³, non-exposed men: 4ª (4 - 157) μ g/m³ |
|--|---|
| | women: 8.3 ± 10.1 µg/L, non-exposed men: 14.9 ± 10.3 µg/L, 101 -exposed women: 8.3 ± 10.1 µg/L, non-exposed men: 14.9 ± 10.3 µg/L <u>Van Sittert <i>et al.</i> 2000</u> Production of BD: 5 high-exposed men HE, and 16 low-exposed men LE Atmospheric BD: HE: 9460 ^a (1584 - 27,500) µg/m ³ , LE: 26.4 ^a (0 - 440) µg/m ³ MHBMA ES: HE: 97^a (7.8 - 464) µg/L, LE: 4.2^a (< 0.1 - 16) µg/L |
| | |
| | <u>Sapkola et al. 2006</u> 9 waste collectors Atmospheric BD: 2.38 ^a (0.51 - 8.12) μg/m ³ MHBMA ES: 9.7 ± 9.5 μg/L |
| | $\frac{\text{Kotapati et al. 2015}}{\text{BD production: 32 exposed subjects (16 women, 16 men), 40 non-exposed subjects (19 women, 21 men)} \\ \text{Atmospheric BD: exposed women: 320 ± 340 µg/m3, EM: 680 ± 410 µg/m3, non-exposed women NEF: 7 ± 5 µg/m3, NEM: 7 ± 5 µg/m3 \\ \text{MHBMA ES: exposed women: 8.3 ± 8.1 µg/L, EM: 95.9 ± 111.4 µg/L, non exposed women: 3.1 ± 4.8 µg/L, NEM: 9.9 ± 11.2 µg/L}$ |
| | Arayasiri <i>et al.</i> 2010 Urban pollution: 24 Bangkok traffic policemen TP, 24 office policemen OP Atmospheric BD: TP: $3.15 \pm 0.16 \ \mu g/m^3$, OP: $0.40 \pm 0.05 \ \mu g/m^3$ MHBMA start of shift SS: TP: 75.07 ± 7.89 $\ \mu g/g$ creatinine, OP: 61.91 ± 6.82 $\ \mu g/g$ creatinine MHBMA ES: TP: 80.90 ± 11.00 $\ \mu g/g$ creatinine, OP: 54.21 ± 4.59 $\ \mu g/g$ creatinine |
| | Borgie et al. 2014 Traffic police TP (n = 24) and office police OP (n = 23) MHBMA ES: TP: 18.7 ± 20.1 OP: 18.8 ± 31 μ g/g creatinine |
| Concentrations measured in exposed workers or volunteers (with the exposures and sampling times) Mean or Median ^a (Min-Max) or ± SD | Albertini <i>et al.</i> 2001 (same data also exploited by Albertini <i>et al.</i> 2003) Production of BD: 25 NE, 24 E monomers M, 34 E polymers P Atmospheric BD: NE (n = 28): 0.026 (0.002 - 0.125) μg/m ³ , EM (n = 217): 0.643 (0.002 - 19.909) μg/m ³ , EP (n = 319): 1.76 (0.002 - 39.030) μg/m ³ MHBMA ES: NE: 1.70 ± 1.54 μg/L, EM: 9.44 ± 12.97 μg/L, EP: 120.17 ± 228.17 μg/L |
| | Sapkota <i>et al.</i> 2006 USA, 7 non-smoking volunteers in an urban area UA, 7 non-smoking volunteers in a suburban area SA Atmospheric BD: UA: 1.62 ^a (0.23 - 3.66) μg/m ³ , SA: 0.88 ^a (0.23 - 4.36) μg/m ³ MHBMA: UA: 6.0 ± 4.3 μg/L, SA: 6.8 ± 2.6 μg/L |
| Conversion factor (with molecular weight) | MW: 233 g.mol ⁻¹ 1 μg.L ⁻¹ = 4.3 × 10 ⁻³ μmol.L ⁻¹ 1 μmol.L ⁻¹ = 233 μg.L ⁻¹ |



| <u>Sarkar et al. 2013</u> 37 adult Caucasian smokers in the USA MHBMA: 2.55 ± 1.72 μg/g creatinine |
|---|
| Boyle <i>et al.</i> 2016 United States, 488 pregnant women including 33 smokers 3-MHBMA: 75 th percentile: 12.1 μg/L |
| <u>Urban <i>et al.</i> 2003</u> Germany, 10 non-smokers NS, 10 smokers S (mean = 16.3 cig/d) MHBMA: NS: 12.5 ± 1.0 μg/24h, S: 86.4 ± 14.0 μg/24h, or NS: 7.4 ± 0.6 μg/g creatinine, S: 51.4 ± 8.3 μg/g creatinine |
| <u>Yuan <i>et al.</i> 2012</u> 343 cases of lung cancer LC, 392 controls CON smokers MHBMA: LC: 2.6ª (2.3 - 3.1) μg/g creatinine CON: 1.9ª (1.7 - 2.3) μg/g creatinine |
| Eckert <i>et al.</i> 2011 Germany, 54 NS, 40 S MHBMA: NS: < 5.0 ^a (95 th percentile < 5.0) μg/g creatinine S: < 5.0 ^a (95 th percentile 9.5) μg/g creatinine |
| $\begin{array}{l} \underline{Pluym \ et \ al. \ 2015} \\ 25 \ S \ and \ 25 \ NS \ Germany \\ 1-MHBMA: \ S \ge 10 \ cig/d \ (n = 12): < LQ^a \ (< LD - 0.52) \ \mu g/g \ creatinine, \ S > 10 \ cig/d \ (n = 13): \\ 0.28^a \ (< LD - 0.66) \ \mu g/g \ creatinine, \ NS: < LD^a \ (< LD - 0.15) \ \mu g/g \ creatinine \\ 2-MHBMA: \ S \ge 10 \ cig/d \ (n = 12): \ 0.53^a \ (< LQ - 0.96) \ \mu g/g \ creatinine, \ S > 10 \ cig/d \ (n = 13): \\ 0.80^a \ (0.095 - 1.30) \ \mu g/g \ creatinine, \ NS: < LD^a \ (< LD - 0.11) \ \mu g/g \ creatinine \\ \end{array}$ |
| Schettgen et al. 2009 Germany, 210 subjects aged 19-80 years divided into four tobacco exposure groups (passive and active) on the basis of urine cotinine. 1 (n = 73): no exposure to passive smoking, 2 (n = 38): low exposure to passive smoking, 3 (n=18): high exposure to passive smoking, 4 (n=81): active smokers MHBMA: 1: < 2 ^a (95 th percentile < 2) µg/L, 2: < 2 ^a (95 th percentile 2.4) µg/L, 3: < 2 ^a (95 th percentile < 2) µg/L, 4: < 2 ^a (95 th percentile 8.6) µg/L |
| <u>Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2015</u> China, 1: 55 NS, 2: 61 S (8 mg of tar/cig), 3: 74 S (10 mg of tar/cig) MHBMA (publication data adjusted for creatinine): 1: 30.3 (8.7 - 68.1) μg/g creatinine, 2: 68.1 (10.0 - 147) μg/g creatinine, 3: 68.5 (15.1 - 165.7) μg/g creatinine |
| |

 $^{^{2}}$ For this table and the following tables : Or failing this, in a non-occupationally exposed control population; 95th percentile or failing this, the median or the mean (number of people in the study, if this information is available)



| Concentrations in the general population Mean or Median ^a (Min-Max) or ± SD | Alwis et al. 2012 NHANES, USA, 1203 NS and 347 S (mu 1-MHBMA: NS: < LD, S: < LD 2-MHBMA: NS: < CD, S: 1.80 ± 2.10 µg/L 3-MHBMA: NS: 6.40 ± 10 µg/L, S: 36 ± 3 Sarkar et al. 2008 USA, 25 non-smokers NS1, 20 non-smokers MHBMA: NS1: 0.09 ± 0.10 µg/g creatinii 1.59 µg/g creatinine, S2: 3.64 ± 3.12 µg/g Roethig et al. 2009 USA, 1077 NS, 3585 S MHBMA: weighted mean (standard error) Ding et al. 2009 USA, 59 NS, 61 S MHBMA: NS: Not Detected (ND)- 122 µg Carmella et al. 2009 USA, 17 S MHBMA: 66.1 ± 69.4 nmol/24h Kotapati et al. 2014 36 S (20 ± 7 cig/d) MHBMA: 11 ± 12 µg/g creatinine CDC 2019a (Volume 1) NHANES (2013-2014 campaign), USA: years. Analysis according to age, sex and Age group ≤ 20 years (n = between 17 interval): 1-MHBMA: Not Recorded (N µg/L ou 6.85 (6.09-7.70) µg/g creat. CDC 2019b (Volume 2) NHANES (2013-2014 campaign), USA: a Smokers ≤ 20 years (n= between 18 interval): 1-MHBMA: NR, 3-MHBMA: 25.6 (21 Non-smokers ≤ 20 years (n= between 12 interval): 1-MHBMA: NR, 2-MHBMA: NF 5.61) µg/g creatinine | Iti-ethnic, men and women, age > 12 years) 4 μg/L kers NS2, 25 smokers S1, 20 smokers S2 ne, NS2: 0.006 ± 0.10 μg/g creatinine, S1: 2.70 ± g creatinine): NS: 0.30 (0.02) μg/24h, S: 3.61 (0.1) μg/24 h. g/g creatinine, S: ND - 59.7 μg/g creatinine 2639 subjects were divided by age group from 6 d ethnicity 02 and 1783): Geometric mean (95% confidence IR), 2-MHBMA: NR, 3-MHBMA: 6.03 (5.42-6.70) analysis according to smoking status 4 and 905): Geometric mean (95% confidence .4-30.8) μg/L ou 26.2 (21.0-32.6) μg/g creat. 296 and 1369): Geometric mean (95% confidence R, 3-MHBMA: 4.3 (3.90-4.74) μg/L ou 4.96 (4.39- |
|---|--|---|
| | | NR |
| Recommended limit values for exposed workers | USA - AUGIH (BEI) | BD atmospheric equivalents - MHBMA (2012) ES after several days of exposure: |
| | Germany - DFG (BAT) | 0.45 mg/m³ - 20 μg/g creatinine 1.1 mg/m³ - 20 μg/g creatinine 2.3 mg/m³ - 40 μg/g creatinine 4.5 mg/m³ - 80 μg/g creatinine 6.8 mg/m³ - 120 μg/g creatinine |
| | Quebec - IRSST (BIE) | NR |
| | Finland - FIOH (BAL) | NR |
| | Other value(s) (Swiss, etc.) | NR |

Name

Urinary DHBMA (3,4-dihydroxybutylmercapturic acid)

³ Biologischer Arbeitsplatz Referenzwert



| Other substances giving rise to this biomarker of exposure | Chloroprene (Eckert <i>et al.</i> 2013) |
|---|--|
| | Bechtold <i>et al.</i> 1994 Production of BD: 7 exposed subjects E, 3 low-exposed subjects LE, 10 non-exposed subjects NE, 9 controls outside the plant C Atmospheric BD: estimated at 6600-8800 μg/m ³ for exposed subjects and < 220 μg/m ³ for non-exposed subjects DHBMA ES: E: 3200 ± 1600 μg/L, LE: 1390 ± 550 μg/L, NE: 630 ± 190 μg/L, C: 320 ± 70 μg/L <u>Kelsey <i>et al.</i> 1995</u> BD production: 44 exposed subjects Atmospheric BD: 484 ± 836 μg/m ³ |
| | DHBMA ES: 1206.6 ± 2604.4 μ g/g creatinine <u>Ward <i>et al.</i> 1996</u> |
| | BD production: 3 exposure groups (1, 2, 3) Atmospheric BD: 1: 660 ± 1298 µg/m ³ (n = 7), 2: 462 ± 462 µg/m ³ (n = 7), 3: 264 ± 594 µg/m ³ (n = 8) DHBMA ES: 1: 761 ± 245 µg/g creatinine, 2: 596 ± 155 µg/g creatinine, 3: 684 + 176 µg/g |
| | creatinine Hallberg et al. 1997 |
| Concentrations measuredin exposed workers or volunteers (with the exposures and | BD production: 24 exposed subjects E, 19 non-exposed subjects NE Atmospheric BD: E: 5280 \pm 3960 µg/m ³ , NE: 660 \pm 0.00 µg/m ³ DHBMA ES: E: 2429 \pm 1877 µg/L, NE: 694 \pm 365 µg/L |
| (with the exposures and sampling times) Mean or Median ^a (Min- Max) or ± SD | Hayes <i>et al.</i> 2000 BD production: 39 exposed subjects E, 14 non-exposed subjects NE Atmospheric BD: E: 4400 ^a (interquartile range 45320) μg/m ³ , NE: 0 μg/m ³ DHBMA ES: E: 1300^a (interquartile range 5200) μg/g creatinine (n = 17), NE (n = 4): 600 ^a (interquartile range 700) μg/g creatinine |
| | Fustinoni <i>et al.</i> 2002 BD production: 30 exposed subjects E, 10 non-exposed subjects NE Atmospheric BD: E: 55 ± 53 μg/m ³ , NE: Not Determined DHBMA ES: E: 1800 ± 940 μg/g creatinine, NE: 1610 ± 600 μg/g creatinine |
| | <u>Fustinoni <i>et al.</i> 2004</u> Production of BD and polymers: 29 exposed subjects E, 18 non-exposed subjects NE Atmospheric BD: E: 11.5 (< 0.1 - 220.6) μg/m ³ , NE: 0.9 (< 1 - 3.8) μg/m ³ DHBMA ES: E: 605 ± 409 μg/L, NE: 602 ± 207 μg/L |
| | Albertini et al. 2007 Production of BD: 53 exposed (23 women, 30 men) and 51 non-exposed (26 women, 25 men) Atmospheric BD: exposed women: 56 ^a (4 - 219) μg/m ³ , exposed men: 241 ^a (4 - 12,583) μg/m ³ , non-exposed women: 4 ^a (4 - 219) μg/m ³ , non-exposed men: 4 ^a (4 - 157) μg/m ³ DHBMA ES: exposed women: 508.1 ± 597.4 μg/L, exposed men: 854.1 ± 567.0 μg/L, non exposed women : 331.6 ± 284.9 μg/L, non-exposed men: 512.8 ± 272.1 μg/L |



| Concentrations measured in exposed workers or volunteers (with the exposures and sampling times) Mean or Median ^a (Min- Max) or ± SD | Ammenheuser <i>et al.</i> 2001 Styrene-butadiene rubber plant: 24 high-exposed HE, 25 low-exposed LE Atmospheric BD: HE: 3256 ± 814 μg/m ³ , LE: 330 ± 44 μg/m ³ DHBMA ES: HE: 2046 ± 348 μg/g creatinine, LE: 585 ± 98 μg/g creatinine |
|---|--|
| | <u>Van Sittert <i>et al.</i> 2000</u> Production of BD: 5 high-exposed men HE, and 16 low-exposed men LE Atmospheric BD: HE: 9460 ^a (1584 - 27,500) μg/m ³ , LE: 26.4 ^a (0 - 440) μg/m ³ DHBMA ES: HE: 2719^a (342 - 20,213) μg/L, LE: 669^a (52 - 2947) μg/L |
| | Albertini <i>et al.</i> 2001 (same data also exploited by Albertini <i>et al.</i> 2003) Production of BD: 25 NE, 24 E monomers M, 34 E polymers P Atmospheric BD: NE (n = 28): 0.026 (0.002 - 0.125) μg/m ³ , EM (n = 217): 0.643 (0.002 - 19.909) μg/m ³ , EP (n = 319): 1.76 (0.002 - 39.030) μg/m ³ DHBMA ES: NE: 353 ± 157 μg/L, EM: 764 ± 728 μg/L, EP: 4647 ± 6630 μg/L |
| | <u>Sapkota <i>et al.</i> 2006</u> 9 waste collectors Atmospheric BD: 2.38 ^a (0.51 - 8.12) μg/m ³ DHBMA: 378.5 ± 196.0 μg/L |
| | Kotapati <i>et al.</i> 2015 BD production: 32 exposed subjects (16 women, 16 men), 40 non-exposed subjects (19 women, 21 men) Atmospheric BD: exposed women: $320 \pm 340 \ \mu g/m^3$, exposed men: $680 \pm 410 \ \mu g/m^3$, non-exposed women NEW: $7 \pm 5 \ \mu g/m^3$, non-exposed men: $7 \pm 5 \ \mu g/m^3$ DHBMA ES: EW: 716.1 ± 830.7 $\mu g/L$, EM: 3136.1 ± 2560.3 $\mu g/L$, NEW: 561.2 ± 531.5 $\mu g/L$, NEM: 1480.6 ± 968.5 $\mu g/L$ |
| | Borgie et al. 2014 Traffic police TP (n = 24) and office police OP (n = 23) DHBMA ES: TP: 207.5 ± 112.2 OP: 73.3 ± 45.3 μ g/g creatinine |
| | <u>Sapkota <i>et al.</i> 2006</u> USA, 7 volunteers in an urban area UA, 7 volunteers in a suburban area SA Atmospheric BD: UA: 1.62 ^a (0.23 - 3.66) μg/m ³ , SA: 0.88 ^a (0.23 - 4.36 μg/m ³ DHBMA: UA: 257.8 ± 133.2 μg/L, SA: 306.5 ± 242.7 μg/L |
| Conversion factor (with molecular weight) | MW: 251 g.mol ⁻¹ 1 μg.L ⁻¹ = 4.0 × 10 ⁻³ μmol.L ⁻¹ 1 μmol.L ⁻¹ = 251 μg.L ⁻¹ |
| Concentrations in the general population Mean or Median ^a (Min- Max) or ± SD | <u>Urban <i>et al.</i> 2003</u> Germany, 10 NS, 10 S (mean = 16.3 cig/d) DHBMA: NS: 459 ± 72 μg/24h, S: 644 ± 90 μg/24h, or NS: 273.2 ± 42.9 μg/g creatinine, S: 383.4 ± 53.2 μg/g creatinine |
| | Eckert et al. 2011 Germany 54 NS, 40 S DHBMA: NS: 159 ^a (95 th percentile 329) μg/g creatinine, S: 211 ^a (95 th percentile 417) μg/g creatinine |
| | <u>Schettgen <i>et al.</i> 2009</u> Germany 210 subjects aged 19-80 years divided into four tobacco exposure groups (passive and active) on the basis of urine cotinine. 1 (n = 73): no exposure to passive smoking, 2 (n = 38): low exposure to passive smoking, 3 (n=18): high exposure to passive smoking, 4 (n=81): active smokers DHBMA: 1: 289 ^a (95 th percentile 760) μ g/L, 2: 384 ^a (95 th percentile 1113) μ g/L, 3: 250 ^a (95 th percentile 759) μ g/L, 4: 398 ^a (95 th percentile 1071) μ g/L |



| | <u>Alwis <i>et al.</i> 2012</u> NHANES, USA, 1203 NS and 347 S DHBMA: NS: 331 ± 279 μg/L, S: 440 ± 311 μg/L | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | <u>Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2015</u> China, 1: 55 NS, 2: 61 S (8 mg of tar/cig), 3: 74 S (10 mg of tar/cig) DHBMA: 1: 187.56 (68.2 - 344.0) μg/L, 2: 219.31 (65.20 - 396.0) μg/L, 3: 219.47 (66.40 - 400.0) μg/L | | |
| | Pluym et al. 2015 Germany 25 S and 25 NS DHBMA: S ≥ 10 cig/d: 112^a (65.5 - 243) μg/g creatinine, S > 10 cig/d: 122^a (52.9 - 244) μg/g creatinine, NS: 76.2^a (47.4 - 349) μg/g creatinine | | |
| | <u>Roethig <i>et al.</i> 2009</u> USA, 1077 NS, 3585 S DHBMA: weighted mean (standard error) | : NS: 391 (5.5) μg/24 h, S: 556 (4.9) μg/24 h | |
| | <u>Ding <i>et al.</i> 2009</u> USA, 59 NS, 61 S DHBMA: NS: Not Detected (ND) - 582 μ | g/g creatinine, S: ND - 1092 μg/g creatinine | |
| Concentrations in the general population Mean or Median ª (Min- | <u>Carmella <i>et al.</i> 2009</u> USA, 17 S DHBMA: 1038 ± 514 nmol/24 h | | |
| Max) or ± SD | <u>Carrieri <i>et al.</i> 2009</u> Italy, 33 NS DHBMA: 166 (16 - 599) μg/L | | |
| | <u>Kotapati <i>et al.</i> 2014</u> 36 smokers (20 ± 7 cig/d) DHBMA: 631 ± 452 μg/g creatinine | | |
| | CDC 2019a (Volume 1)NHANES (2013-2014 campaign), USA: 2639 subjects were divided by age group from 6years old. Analysis according to age, sex and ethnicityAge group ≤ 20 years (n = 1791- 1792): Geometric mean (95% confidence interval): DHBMA:242 (223-261) µg/L or 283 (260-307) µg/g creatinine | | |
| | CDC 2019b (Volume 2) NHANES (2013-2014 campaign), USA: analysis according to smoking status Smokers ≤ 20 years (n= 913- 914): Geometric mean (95% confidence interval): DHBMA: 360 (327-397) μg/L ou 366 (332-403) μg/g creatinine | | |
| | Non-smokers ≤ 20 years (n=1374- 1375): Geometric mean (95% confidence interval): DHBMA: 223 (206-240) μg/L or 267 (245-290) μg/g creatinine | | |
| | BAR value (2012): 400 µg/g creatinine (assessment for non-smokers) | | |
| | USA - ACGIH (BEI) | 2.5 mg/L ES (eq. 2 ppm or 4.4 mg/m ³ BD) (2006) | |
| Recommended limit values for exposed workers | Germany - DFG (BAT) | BD atmospheric equivalents – DHBMA (2012) ES after several days of exposure: 0.45 mg/m ³ - 600 µg/g creatinine 1.1 mg/m ³ - 1000 µg/g creatinine 2.3 mg/m ³ - 1600 µg/g creatinine 4.5 mg/m ³ - 2900 µg/g creatinine 6.8 mg/m ³ - 4200 µg/g creatinine | |
| | Quebec - IRSST (BIE) | NR | |
| | Finland - FIOH (BAL) | NR | |
| | Other value(s) (Swiss, etc.) | NR | |

| Name | Urinary THBMA (1,3,4-trihydroxybutylmercapturic acid) | |
|---|--|----|
| Other substances giving rise to this biomarker of exposure | None | |
| Concentrations measuredin exposed workers or volunteers (with the exposures and sampling times) Mean ± SD | Kotapati <i>et al.</i> 2015 BD production: 32 exposed subjects (16 women, 16 men), 40 non-exposed subjects (19 women, 21 men) Atmospheric BD: exposed women: 320 ± 340 µg/m ³ , exposed men: 680 ± 410 µg/m ³ , non-exposed women: 7 ± 5 µg/m ³ THBMA ES: exposed women: 47.4 ± 70.9 µg/L, exposed men: 139.3 ± 104.7 µg/L, non-exposed women: 24.2 ± 16.6 µg/L, non-exposed men: 57.1 ± 33.5 µg/L | |
| Conversion factor (with molecular weight) | MW: 267 g.mol ⁻¹ 1 μg.L ⁻¹ = 3.74 nmol.L ⁻¹ 1 μmol.L ⁻¹ = 267 μg.L ⁻¹ | |
| Concentrations in the general population | Kotapati et al. 2011 USA, 19 NS, 27 S THBMA: NS: 13.7 ± 7.9 μg/g creatinine, S: 21.6 ± 10.2 μg/g creatinine Kotapati et al. 2014 36 smokers (20 ± 7 cig/d) THBMA: 31 ± 20 μg/g creatinine | |
| Recommended limit values for exposed | USA - ACGIH (BEI) | NR |
| | Germany - DFG (BAT) | NR |
| | Quebec - IRSST (BIE) | NR |
| workers | Finland - FIOH (BAL) | NR |
| | Other value(s) (Swiss, etc.) | NR |
| | | |

| Name | Blood MHBVal (N-(1- and N-(2-hydroxy-3-butenyl)valine) |
|--|--|
| Other substances giving rise to this biomarker of exposure | None |



| Concentrations measured in exposed workers or volunteers (with the exposures and sampling times) Mean or Median ^a (Min- Max) or ± SD | Sorsa et al. 1996 2 plants, 3 sampling campaigns BD production plant 1: 10 production workers P1, 7 maintenance and laboratory workers ML, 9 controls C1 BD production plant 2, campaign 1: 12 polymerisation and production workers PP, 14 controls C2 BD production plant 2, campaign 2: 4 production workers P2, 8 controls C3 Atmospheric BD (personal sensors, measurement on the work shift corresponding to the day of the blood sample): Plant 1: 70% < 440 µg/m³ |
|---|--|
| | MHBVal: NE: 0.224 ± 0.205 pmol/g, EM: 0.466 ± 0.452 pmol/g, EP: 2.23 ± 1.399 pmol/g |



| Conversion factor (with molecular weight) | MW: NR 1 μg.L ⁻¹ = NR 1 μmol.L ⁻¹ = NR | |
|---|--|---|
| Concentrations in the general population | NR | |
| | USA - ACGIH (BEI) | 2.5 pmol/g of haemoglobin ES after 4 months of exposure (eq. 2 ppm or 4.4 mg/m ³ BD) (2006) |
| | Germany - DFG (BAT) | Calculation of 2.1 pmol/g of haemoglobin ES (eq. 1 ppm or 2.2 mg/m ³ BD after 18 weeks of exposure) but not selected officially (2005) |
| values for exposed | Quebec - IRSST (BIE) | NR |
| workers | Finland - FIOH (BAL) | NR |
| | Other value(s) (Swiss, etc.) | NR |
| | Germany - DFG (BAT) | NR |
| | Quebec - IRSST (BIE) | NR |
| | Finland - FIOH (BAL) | NR |
| | Other value(s) (Swiss, etc.) | NR |

| Name | Blood THBVal (N-(2,3,4-trihydroxybutyl)valine) |
|---|--|
| Other substances giving rise to this biomarker of exposure | None |
| Concentrations measured in exposed workers or volunteers (with the exposures and sampling times) Mean or Median ^a (Min- Max) or ± SD | Perez et al. 1997Petrochemical plant: 2 workers E, 2 controls NEAtmospheric BD (estimated): E: ~ 2200 μg/m³THBVal (no information on the period of exposure 3 months before the blood sample): E: 10.15 pmol/g and 14.2 pmol/g, NE: 1.85 pmol/g and 3.32 pmol/gAlbertini et al. 2001 (same data also exploited by Albertini et al. 2003)Production of BD: 25 NE, 24 E monomers EM, 34 E polymers EPAtmospheric BD (10 measurements distributed over a period of 28 to 84 days before theblood sample depending on the subjects): NE (n = 28): 0.026 (0.002 - 0.125) μg/m³, EM (n =217): 0.643 (0.002 - 19.909) μg/m³, EP (n = 319): 1.76 (0.002 - 39.030) μg/m³THBVal: NE: 94.8 ± 38.7 pmol/g, EM: 178.7 ± 101.3 pmol/g, EP: 717.3 ± 425.7 pmol/g |
| | <u>Hayes <i>et al.</i> 2000</u> BD production: 39 exposed subjects E, 14 non-exposed subjects NE Atmospheric BD (measurement on the work shift corresponding to the day of the blood sample): E: 4400 ^a (IQ 45320) μ g/m ³ , NE: 0 μ g/m ³ THBVal (no information on the period of exposure 3 months before the blood sample): E: 74.0^a (interquartile range 30.9) pmol/g (n = 33), NE (n = 25): 37.6 (interquartile range 9.2) pmol/g |
| | Swenberg <i>et al.</i> 2000 BD Production, China: polymerisation workers P (n = 24), workers BD (n= 7), maintenance workers M (n= 7), non-exposed US workers NE (7 NS and 4 S), controls C (n = 25) Atmospheric BD: P: 2200 μ g/m ³ , BD: 7700 μ g/m ³ , M: 2420 μ g/m ³ , C: 0 μ g/m ³ THBVal (no information on the period of exposure 3 months before the blood sample): P: 71 ± 24 pmol/g, BD: 140 ± 94 pmol/g, M: 78 ± 48 pmol/g, C: 39 ± 13 pmol/g, NENS: 36 ± 23 pmol/g, NES: 40 ± 9 pmol/g |



| Concentrations measuredin exposed workers or volunteers (with the exposures and sampling times) Mean or Median ^a (Min- Max) or ± SD | Begemann et al. 2001 BD production: 10 monomer workers M, 10 polymer workers P, 10 copolymer workers CoP, 10 controls C, 14 workers exposed to diesel D Atmospheric BD (measurement on the work shift corresponding to the day of the blood sample): 31 (4 - 201) μg/m ³ in the BD plant THBVal (no information on the period of exposure 3 months before the blood sample): M: 44.8 ^a (30.3 - 61.4) pmol/g, P: 41 ^a (22.1 - 48.2) pmol/g, CoP: 33 ^a (25 - 43.9) pmol/g, C: 34.7 ^a (22.7 - 44.9) pmol/g, D: 43.5 ^a (22.7 - 57) pmol/g Vacek et al. 2010 Polymerisation unit, 25 control men CM (administrative) and 30 exposed men EM, and 26 control women CW and 23 exposed women EW Atmospheric BD (10 measurements distributed over a period of 120 days before the blood sample): CM: 0.007 ± 0.012 mg/m ³ , EM: 0.808 ± 1.663 mg/m ³ , CW: 0.008 ± 0.015 mg/m ³ , EW: 0.397 ± 1.094 mg/m ³ THBVal: CM-smokers: 501.9 ± 436.6 pmol/g, CM-non-smokers: 179.1 ± 40.4 pmol/g, EM- smokers: ± 931.3 448.3 pmol/g, EM-non-smokers: 180.2 ± 93.3 pmol/g CW-smokers: 189.2 ± 48.5 pmol/g, CW-non-smokers: 180.2 ± 93.3 pmol/g, EW-smokers: 294.8 ± 249.6 pmol/g, EW-non-smokers: 199.6 ± 85.8 pmol/g | |
|--|--|----|
| Conversion factor (with molecular weight) | MW: NR 1 μg.L ⁻¹ = NR 1 μmol.L ⁻¹ = NR | |
| Concentrations in the general population | NR | |
| | Germany - DFG (BAT) | NR |
| Recommended limit values for exposed workers | Quebec - IRSST (BIE) | NR |
| | Finland - FIOH (BAL) | NR |
| | Other value(s) (Swiss, etc.) | NR |

Study of the relationship between concentrations of biomarkers of exposure and health effects

According to the analysis of the existing scientific literature, no study reporting correlation was identified between the biological concentrations of the selected BMEs and the health effects.

Study of the relationship between concentrations of biomarkers and atmospheric concentration

Many studies report correlations between the selected BMEs (MHBMA, DHBMA, THBMA, MHBVal and THBVal) and the atmospheric concentration of 1,3-butadiene. Concentrations of each BME were calculated for each available correlation equation and for three atmospheric concentrations of 1,3-butadiene (0.08 mg.m⁻³, 0.008 mg.m⁻³ and 0.0008 mg.m⁻³) associated respectively with the three levels of additional risk of leukaemia deaths, 10⁻⁴, 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁶. The field studies selected and the calculations of BME concentrations performed are described in the table below (Table 1).

| Table 1: Summary of BMEs concentrations, calculated from data enabling the bio | ogical | | | |
|--|--------|--|--|--|
| concentrations to be linked with the atmospheric concentrations | | | | |

| IBE | Equations linking the exposure to BME concentrations (ES) | Level | BME concentrations |
|-------|--|--|--|
| мнвма | log MHBMA (µg/L) = $1,591(0,202)^* + 0,655(0,142)^* X \log (BD + 0,007) (ppm)$ $r^2 = 0,542, p < 0,001$ (Van Sittert et al. 2000) | 10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻⁶ | 5 μg.L ⁻¹ 2 μg.L ⁻¹ 1,6 μg.L ⁻¹ |
| | Log MHBMA (µg/L) = 0,631 X log BD (mg/m3) + 1,61 | 10-4 | 8,3 µg.L ⁻¹ |
| | r ² = 0,3582, p < 0,005 <i>(Kotapati et al. 2015)</i> | 10 ⁻⁵ | 1,9 μg.L ⁻¹ |



| | | 10 ⁻⁶ | 0,5 μg.L ⁻¹ |
|--------|--|------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Log MHBMA (µg/L) = 0.3784 X log BD (mg/m³) + 1.0814** | 10-4 | 4,6 µg.L ⁻¹ |
| | $r^2 = 0,2154$ | 10 ⁻⁵ | 1,9 µg.L⁻¹ |
| | (Albertini et al. 2003) | 10 ⁻⁶ | 0,8 µg.L ⁻¹ |
| | log DHBMA (µg/L) = 3,344(0,180)* + 0,371(0,126)* X log | 10-4 | 687 μg.L ⁻¹ |
| | (BD+0,007) (ppm) | 10 ⁻⁵ | 409 µg.L ⁻¹ |
| | r² = 0,325, p < 0,01 <i>(Van Sittert et al. 2000)</i> | 10 ⁻⁶ | 357 µg.L⁻¹ |
| | DHBMA (µg/g créat) = (776 ± 179) X BD (ppm) + 474 (equation | 10-4 | 502 µg.g ⁻¹ créat. |
| | established by the DFG with data provided by the author) | 10 ⁻⁵ | 477 µg.g⁻¹ créat. |
| DHBMA | (Annienneuser 2001) | 10 ⁻⁶ | 474 µg.g⁻¹ créat. |
| | l og DHBMA (ng/ml) = 0 1563 X log BD(mg/m3) + 3 146 | 10-4 | 943 µg.L ⁻¹ |
| | $r^2 = 0.0762$ n < 0.005 (Kotanati et al. 2015) | 10 ⁻⁵ | 658 µg.L ⁻¹ |
| | 1 0,0102, p 10,000 (10,000 ui ot di. 2010) | 10 ⁻⁶ | 459 μg.L ⁻¹ |
| | $\log \text{DHBMA}(a) = 0.2232 \text{ X} \log \text{BD}(a m3) + 3.1704^{**}$ | 10 ⁻⁴ | 842 µg.L ⁻¹ |
| | $r_2 = 0.3184 \text{ (Albertini 2003)}$ | | 504 µg.L ⁻¹ |
| | 12 - 0,0104 (Auberuni 2000) | 10 ⁻⁶ | 301 µg.L ⁻¹ |
| | $\log THBMA (ua/l.) = 0.1814 \times \log BD (ma/m3) + 1.903$ | 10-4 | 36,1 µg.g⁻¹ créat. |
| THBMA | $r^2 = 0.19 \text{ p} < 0.005$ (Kotapati et al. 2015) | 10 ⁻⁵ | 23,8 µg.g⁻¹ créat. |
| | 1 = 0,10, p < 0,000 (Notapati et al. 2010) | 10 ⁻⁶ | 15,7 µg.g⁻¹ créat. |
| | a = M D (a = 0.210 (0.069) + 0.566 (0.064) + X a = (D - 1.069) + 0.069 0.064 0 | 10-4 | 0,31 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | $0,016$) (ppm) $r^2 = 0,495$, p < 0,0001 (Van Sittert et al. 2000) | 10 ⁻⁵ | 0,18 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | | 10 ⁻⁶ | 0,16 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | Log MHBVal (pmol/g) = 0,527 (0,058)* x log BD (mg/m3) + 0,054 | 10-4 | 0,30 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| MHBVal | (0,043*) | 10 ⁻⁵ | 0,09 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | r² = 0,505, p < 0,0001 <i>(Boogaard et al. 2002)</i> | | 0,03 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | Ln MHBVal (pmol/g) = 0,098 + 0,491 x ln BD (mg.m ⁻³) | 10-4 | 0,32 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | Pearson's correlation coefficient: $0,700$ (r ² = $0,491$) | 10 ⁻⁵ | 0,10 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | (Albertini et al. 2003) | 10 ⁻⁶ | 0,03 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| THBVal | Ln THBVal (pmol/g) = 6,01 + 0,395 x ln BD (mg/m3) | 10-4 | 150,2 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | Pearson's correlation coefficient: $0,718$ (r ² = $0,515$) (<i>Albertini et al. 2003</i>) | 10 ⁻⁵ | 60,5 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | | 10 ⁻⁶ | 24,4 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | Ln THBVal (pmol/g) = 6,3999 + 0,2289 x ln BD (mg/m3) ^{**} r^2 = | 10 ⁻⁴ | 337,6 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | 0,3795 | 10 ⁻⁵ | 199,3 pmol.g ⁻¹ |
| | (Vacek et al. 2010) | 10 ⁻⁶ | 117,6 pmol.g⁻¹ |

* Standard error of the regression coefficients ** Estimated from graphical data



Establishment of BLVs and choice of biological reference values

The Committee considered that the carcinogenicity of 1,3-butadiene operates in humans according to a non-threshold mechanism of action. It was not possible to use the field studies to establish a dose-response relationship between the concentrations of the different BMEs selected (MHBMA, DHBMA, THBMA, MHBVal and THBVal) and leukaemia.

Using the correlation equations linking the BME concentrations to atmospheric exposure, it is possible to calculate BME concentrations corresponding to the three atmospheric concentrations of 1,3-butadiene (0.08 mg.m⁻³, 0.008 mg.m⁻³ and 0.0008 mg.m⁻³) associated respectively with the three levels of additional risk of leukaemia deaths, 10⁻⁴, 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁶. By averaging for each BME the values obtained from the available correlation equations, it would then be possible to calculate the theoretical BLVs. However, these values would be extrapolated for very low atmospheric concentrations (especially for the risk levels 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁶) compared to the atmospheric concentrations used to establish the equations, which introduces too much uncertainty to be reliable. In addition, some of these theoretical BLVs are found very close to or even below the values found in non-exposed subjects. For this reason, for the five BMEs selected, the Committee considered that no BLV could be proposed.

Thus, since it is not possible to recommend a biological limit value, a biological reference value may therefore be proposed.

Proposed biological reference values

With regard to the biological reference values, the only BME for which the data are sufficient to determine a BRV are urinary mercapturic acids, **DHBMA and 3-MHBMA**. Regarding THBMA, no approach has been undertaken to determine a BRV due to the lack of data (very few studies available).

For the MHBVal adduct, certain field studies have measured the concentration in non-exposed subjects, but as the number of subjects was very low and, the concentrations close to the limits of detection (with unacceptably large standard deviations), it was not possible to recommend a BRV based on these values. Similarly, for the THBVal adduct, disparate data with small numbers of subjects (and an analytical method poorly suited to routine use) did not make it possible to recommend a BRV for this BME.

For MHBMA, studies usually measure this BME without distinguishing between the isomers, although a few more recent studies have measured them separately. Among these studies, the very recent data reported by the CDC⁴ (CDC, 2019a and 2019b) are very comprehensive, since they concern the three isomers of MHBMA, with or without adjustment for creatinine, with or without distinction as to smoking status, for a large number of subjects, and the 95th percentile values are provided by the authors.

These data confirm that 3-MHBMA is the foremost isomer in terms of quantity, systematically detected in both smokers and non-smokers, and that this BME could be retained to propose a BRV. The adequate interpretation requires the use of quantification by a specific analysis technique, based on LC-MS-MS⁵ (Alwis et al., 2012). The risk of misinterpretation of the results if the analysis technique is not specific (possible interference with the urinary matrix) is important. This BME is significantly influenced by tobacco (see Table 6, section 4.3), which requires dissociation of reference values as a function of smoking status. Jain (2015) performed a statistical analysis of the differences between smokers and non-smokers for this IBE. However, this analysis was based on an earlier version of the NHANES values that were

⁴ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

⁵ Liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry



subsequently modified so this statistical analysis can no longer be used for this comparison. On the NHANES values (2013-2014 campaign) reported by the CDC in 2019, smokers have higher levels than non-smokers both for women and men. The use of the 95th percentile of the NHANES data (CDC, 2019b) makes it possible to recommend BRVs for 3-MHBMA according to smoking status.

The recommended BRVs for 3-MHBMA are:

- for non-smokers: 20.9 μ g/L rounded to à **20** μ g.L⁻¹ or 16.5 μ g.g⁻¹ creatinine rounded to **15** μ g.g⁻¹ creatinine

- <u>for smokers</u>: 119 μ g/L rounded to **120 \mug/L** or 110 μ g.g⁻¹ creatinine

Concerning DHBMA, urinary concentrations are significantly higher than those of MHBMA isomers, with high variability measured in the general population according to studies. These concentrations in the general population are close to those measured in workers occupationally exposed to 1,3-butadiene, indicating a significant background level in controls. Some studies also suggest possible endogenous sources of DHBMA (carbohydrate catabolism generating 3-butene-1,2-diol) (Fustinoni et al., 2002). In addition, urinary levels of DHBMA are significantly influenced by the smoking status of subjects (Boyle et al., 2016). Numerous studies report the measurement of the DHBMA concentration in the general population. Among these data, the NHANES study (CDC, 2019b) giving values for the 95th percentile as according to smoking status with the largest number of subjects was retained for the recommendation of BRVs. The BRVs recommended for DHBMA concentrations are:

- for non-smokers: 753 µg. L⁻¹ rounded to 750 µg. L⁻¹ or 565 µg.g⁻¹ creatinine rounded to 550 µg.g⁻¹ of creatinine)

- for smokers: 1130 μ g. L⁻¹ rounded to 1100 μ g. L⁻¹ or 768 μ g/g⁻¹ creatinine rounded to 750 μ g/g⁻¹ creatinine).

Conclusions of the collective expert appraisal

The biological values proposed for monitoring occupational exposure to 1,3-butadiene are:

Urinary DHBMA:

| BLV based on a health effect | None |
|---|---|
| BLV based on the 3 atmospheric concentrations in BD (0.08mg.m ⁻³ , 0.008mg.m ⁻³ , 0.0008mg.m ⁻³) associated respectively with the 3 additional risks of leucemia deaths | None |
| Biological reference value (BRV) | Non-smokers: 750 µg. L ⁻¹ |
| | 550 μg.g ⁻¹ creatinine |
| | Smokers: 1100 µg.L ⁻¹ 750 µg/g ⁻¹ creatinine |

Urinary 3-MHBMA:



| BLV based on a health effect | None |
|--|--|
| BLV based on the 3 atmospheric concentrations in BD (0.08mg.m ⁻³ , 0.008mg.m ⁻³ , 0.0008mg.m ⁻³) associated respectively with the 3 additional risks of leucemia deaths | None |
| Biological reference value (BRV) | Non-smokers: 20 μg. L ⁻¹ or 15 μg.g ⁻¹ creatinine |
| | Smokers: 120 µg.L ⁻¹ or |
| | 110 µg.g⁻¹ creatinine |

These BRV can not be considered to offer protection from the onset of health effects but do allow a comparison with the concentrations of biomarkers assayed in exposed workers.

Sampling methods and factors that may affect the interpretation of results

For DHBMA and 3-MHBMA, urine samples should be taken at the end of the shift at the end of the week.

Exposure to chloroprene, a chlorinated derivative of butadiene, has been described as leading to the formation of MHBMA and DHBMA (Eckert *et al.* 2013), but the influence of this exposure in quantitative terms on urinary DHBMA and MHBMA levels is unknown.

Competitive inhibition of the metabolism of 1,3-butadiene by styrene has been described (Laib *et al.* 1992), but the influence of this exposure in quantitative terms on urinary DHBMA and MHBMA levels is unknown.

With regard to MHBMA, the influence of polymorphism of GST (glutathione S-transferases) and EH (epoxide hydrolase) has also been described (Albertini *et al.* 2007).

Biometrology

Analysis methods described in scientific literature for measurement of DHBMA are also included in the summary report. The objective of this section is not to recommend a measurement method, but to provide succinct information on certain characteristics of the analysis methods.

| DHBMA (3,4-dihydroxybutylmercapturic acid) | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|
| | Method 1 | Method 3 | |
| Method name | Albertini <i>et al.</i> 2003 | Urban <i>et al.</i> 2003, Fustinoni <i>et al.</i> 2004, Sapkota <i>et al.</i> 2006, Schettgen <i>et al.</i> 2009, Kotapati <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2015 | |
| Analytical technique | GC-NECI-MS-MS | LC-MS/MS | |



| Standardisation (ISO/AFNOR) | NEN-EN-ISO 14001 | NR |
|--|---|--|
| Sensitivity | NR | NR |
| Limit of detection | 5 µg/L | 23 μg/L (Urban <i>et al.</i> 2003) 50 μg/L (Fustinoni <i>et al.</i> 2004) 3.7 μg/L (Sapkota <i>et al.</i> 2006) 5 μg/L (Schettgen <i>et al.</i> 2009, Kotapati <i>et al.</i> 2015) |
| Limit of quantification | NR | 76 μg/L (Urban <i>et al.</i> 2003) 10 μg/L (Schettgen <i>et al.</i> 2009) 10 μg/L (Kotapati <i>et al.</i> 2015) |
| Linearity area | 0 - 20 mg/L | 50 - 1000 μg/L (Urbane <i>t al.</i> 2003, Sapkota <i>et al.</i> 2015) 100 - 10000 μg/L (Schettgen <i>et al.</i> 2009) |
| If necessary, preparation of the sample and its duration | Internal standard mixed with urine, liquid/liquid extraction, evaporation to dryness, derivatisation for 1 h at 60°C, evaporation and dissolved in 100 µL of toluene | Internal standard mixed with urine, solid phase extraction (or on-line for Schettgen <i>et al.</i> 2009) |
| Analytical interference(s) | NR | NR |
| Quality control Reference standard | Internal controls of overloaded urine | Internal controls of overloaded urine |

| 3-MHBMA (N-acetyl-S-4-(hydroxy-2-buten-1-yl)-L-cysteine) | Method | |
|--|---|--|
| Method name | Alwis et al., 2012 | |
| Analytical technique | LC-NESI-MS/MS | |
| Standardisation (ISO/AFNOR | NR | |
| Sensitivity | NR | |
| Limit of detection | 0,6 µg/L | |
| Limit of quantification | NR | |
| Linearity area | 0,6 - 44 μg/L | |
| If necessary, preparation of the sample and its duration | N-acetyl- ² H3-S-(4-hydroxy- 2-buten-1-yl)-L-cysteine (MHBMA3- ² H3) used as internal standard | |



| Analytical interference(s) | NR | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Quality control | Internal controls of overloaded urine at 2 levels | | |
| Reference standard | of concentration | | |

Bibliographic references

AFSSET. (2010). Valeurs limites d'exposition en milieu professionnel. Evaluation des effets sur la santé et des méthodes de mesure des niveaux d'exposition sur le lieu de travail pour le 1,3butadiène. (Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail, France). 82p

Albertini, R. J., R. J. Sram, P. M. Vacek, J. Lynch, P. Rossner, J. A. Nicklas, J. D. McDonald, G. Boysen, N. Georgieva and J. A. Swenberg (2007). "Molecular epidemiological studies in 1,3-butadiene exposed Czech workers: female-male comparisons." Chem Biol Interact 166(1-3): 63-77.

Albertini, R. J., R. J. Sram, P. M. Vacek, J. Lynch, J. A. Nicklas, N. J. van Sittert, P. J. Boogaard, R. F. Henderson, J. A. Swenberg, A. D. Tates, J. B. Ward, Jr., M. Wright, M. M. Ammenheuser, B. Binkova, W. Blackwell, F. A. de Zwart, D. Krako, J. Krone, H. Megens, P. Musilova, G. Rajska, A. Ranasinghe, J. I. Rosenblatt, P. Rossner, J. Rubes, L. Sullivan, P. Upton and A. H. Zwinderman (2003). "Biomarkers in Czech workers exposed to 1,3-butadiene: a transitional epidemiologic study." Res Rep Health Eff Inst(116): 1-141; discussion 143-62.

Albertini, R. J., R. J. Sram, P. M. Vacek, J. Lynch, M. Wright, J. A. Nicklas, P. J. Boogaard, R. F. Henderson, J. A. Swenberg, A. D. Tates and J. B. Ward, Jr. (2001). "Biomarkers for assessing occupational exposures to 1,3-butadiene." Chem Biol Interact 135-136: 429-53.

Alwis, K. U., B. C. Blount, A. S. Britt, D. Patel, and D. L. Ashley (2012). "Simultaneous Analysis of 28 Urinary Voc Metabolites Using Ultra High Performance Liquid Chromatography Coupled with Electrospray Ionization Tandem Mass Spectrometry (Uplc-Esi/Msms)." Anal Chim Acta 750:152-60.

Ammenheuser, M. M., W. E. Bechtold, S. Z. Abdel-Rahman, J. I. Rosenblatt, D. A. Hastings-Smith and J. B. Ward, Jr. (2001). "Assessment of 1,3-butadiene exposure in polymer production workers using HPRT mutations in lymphocytes as a biomarker." Environ Health Perspect 109(12): 1249-55.

Arayasiri, M., C. Mahidol, P. Navasumrit, H. Autrup, M. Ruchirawat (2010). "Biomonitoring of benzene and 1,3-butadiene exposure and early biological effects in traffic policemen". Science of The Total Environment 408(20): 4855-4862

Anses. 2017. Document de référence pour l'élaboration de valeurs limites d'exposition à des agents chimiques en milieu professionnel. (Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire pour l'alimentation, l'environnement et le travail, France). 142 p

Bechtold, W. E., M. R. Strunk, I. Y. Chang, J. B. Ward, Jr. and R. F. Henderson (1994). "Species differences in urinary butadiene metabolites: comparisons of metabolite ratios between mice, rats, and humans." Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 127(1): 44-9.



Begemann, P., P. B. Upton, A. Ranasinghe, J. A. Swenberg, L. Soleo, L. Vimercati, A. Gelormini, S. Fustinoni, I. Zwirner-Baier and H. G. Neumann (2001). "Hemoglobin adducts as biomarkers of 1,3-butadiene in occupationally low exposed Italian workers and a few diesel-exposed miners." Chem Biol Interact 135-136: 675-8.

Boogaard, P. J. (2002). "Use of haemoglobin adducts in exposure monitoring and risk assessment." J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 778(1-2): 309-22.

Borgie M, A. Garat, F. Cazier, A. Delbende, D., F. Ledoux, D. Courcot, P. Shirali, Z. Dagher (2014). "Traffic-related air pollution. A pilot exposure assessment in Beirut, Lebanon". Chemosphere 96: 122-128.

Boyle, E. B., S. M. Viet, D. J. Wright, L. S. Merrill, K. U. Alwis, B. C. Blount, M. E. Mortensen, J. Moye, Jr., and M. Dellarco (2016). "Assessment of Exposure to Vocs among Pregnant women in the National Children's Study." *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 13, no. 4 : 376.

Boysen G., N. Georgieva, N. K. Bordeerat, R. J. Šram, P. Vacek, R. J. Albertini, J. A. Swenberg. (2012). "Formation of 1,2:3,4-Diepoxybutane-Specific Hemoglobin Adducts in 1,3-Butadiene Exposed Workers". Toxicological sciences 125(1): 30–40

Carmella S. G., M. Chen, S. Han, A. Briggs, J. Jensen, D. K. Hatsukami, S. S. Hecht (2009). "Effects of smoking cessation on eight urinary tobacco carcinogen and toxicant biomarkers". Chem Res Toxicol 22(4): 734–741.

Carrieri M., G. B. Bartolucci, M. Livieri, E. Paci, D. Pigini, R. Sisto, F. Corsetti, G. Tranfo (2009). "Quantitative determination of the 1,3-butadiene urinary metabolite 1,2-dihydroxybutyl mercapturic acid by high-performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry using polynomial calibration curves" Journal of chromatography B 877: 1388-1393.

CDC. 2019a. "Fourth National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals Updated Tables, January 2019, Volume One". US. Department of Health and Human Services. p 868

CDC. 2019b. "Fourth National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals Updated Tables, January 2019, Volume Two". US. Department of Health and Human Services. p 994

Dahl, A. R., W. E. Bechtold, J. A. Bond, R. F. Henderson, J. L. Mauderly, B. A. Muggenburg, J. D. Sun and L. S. Birnbaum (1990). "Species differences in the metabolism and disposition of inhaled 1,3-butadiene and isoprene." Environ Health Perspect 86: 65-9.

Ding Y. S., B. C. Blount, L. Valentin-Blasini, H. S. Applewhite, Y. Xia, C. H. Watson, D. L. Ashley (2009). "Simultaneous determination of six mercapturic acid metabolites of volatile organic compounds in human urine." Chem. Res. Toxicol. 22:1018-1025

Eckert, E., K. Schmid, B. Schaller, K. Hiddemann-Koca, H. Drexler, and T. Goen (2011). "Mercapturic Acids as Metabolites of Alkylating Substances in Urine Samples of German Inhabitants." *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 214, no. 3: 196-204.

Eckert, E., G. Leng, W. Gries, T. Göen (2013). "Excretion of mercapturic acids in human urine after occupational exposure to 2-chloroprene". Arch Toxicol (2013) 87:1095–1102

Fustinoni, S., L. Perbellini, L. Soleo, M. Manno and V. Foa (2004). "Biological monitoring in occupational exposure to low levels of 1,3-butadiene." Toxicol Lett 149(1-3): 353-60.



Fustinoni, S., L. Soleo, M. Warholm, P. Begemann, A. Rannug, H. G. Neumann, J. A. Swenberg, L. Vimercati and A. Colombi (2002). "Influence of metabolic genotypes on biomarkers of exposure to 1,3-butadiene in humans." Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 11(10 Pt 1): 1082-90.

Hallberg, L. M., W. E. Bechtold, J. Grady, M. S. Legator and W. W. Au (1997). "Abnormal DNA repair activities in lymphocytes of workers exposed to 1,3-butadiene." Mutat Res 383(3): 213-21.

Hayes, R. B., L. Zhang, S. Yin, J. A. Swenberg, L. Xi, J. Wiencke, W. E. Bechtold, M. Yao, N. Rothman, R. Haas, J. P. O'Neill, D. Zhang, J. Wiemels, M. Dosemeci, G. Li and M. T. Smith (2000). "Genotoxic markers among butadiene polymer workers in China." Carcinogenesis 21(1): 55-62.

INRS. Biotox. 1,3-Butadiène - (Institut National de la Recherche et de la Sécurité). http://www.inrs.fr/accueil/produits/bdd/biotox.html consulté en 2016

Jain, R. B (2015). "Distributions of Selected Urinary Metabolites of Volatile Organic Compounds by Age, Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Smoking Status in a Representative Sample of U.S. Adults." *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 40, no. 2:471-9.

Kelsey, K. T., J. K. Wiencke, J. Ward, W. Bechtold and J. Fajen (1995). "Sister-chromatid exchanges, glutathione S-transferase theta deletion and cytogenetic sensitivity to diepoxybutane in lymphocytes from butadiene monomer production workers." Mutat Res 335(3): 267-73.

Kotapati, S., B. A. Matter, A. L. Grant, and N. Y. Tretyakova (2011). "Quantitative Analysis of Trihydroxybutyl Mercapturic Acid, a Urinary Metabolite of 1,3-Butadiene, in Humans." *Chem Res Toxicol* 24, no. 9: 1516-26.

Kotapati, S., D. Sangaraju, A. Esades, L. Hallberg, V. E. Walker, J. A. Swenberg, and N. Y. Tretyakova (2014). "Bis-Butanediol-Mercapturic Acid (Bis-Bdma) as a Urinary Biomarker of Metabolic Activation of Butadiene to Its Ultimate Carcinogenic Species." *Carcinogenesis* 35, no. 6: 1371-8.

Kotapati, S., A. Esades, B. Matter, C. Le, and N. Tretyakova (2015). "High Throughput Hplc-Esi(-)-Ms/Ms Methodology for Mercapturic Acid Metabolites of 1,3-Butadiene: Biomarkers of Exposure and Bioactivation." *Chem Biol Interact* 241: 23-31.

Lin, Y. S., T. J. Smith, K. T. Kelsey and D. Wypij (2001). "Human physiologic factors in respiratory uptake of 1,3-butadiene." Environ Health Perspect 109(9): 921-6.

Lin, Y. S., T. J. Smith, and P. Y. Wang. "An Automated Exposure System for Human Inhalation Study (2002)." *Arch Environ Health* 57, no. 3: 215-23.

Osterman-Golkar, S. and J. A. Bond (1996). "Biomonitoring of 1,3-butadiene and related compounds." Environ Health Perspect 104 Suppl 5: 907-15.

Osterman-Golkar, S., K. Peltonen, T. Anttinen-Klemetti, H. H. Landin, V. Zorcec and M. Sorsa (1996). "Haemoglobin adducts as biomarkers of occupational exposure to 1,3-butadiene." Mutagenesis 11(2): 145-9.

Osterman-Golkar, S. M., J. A. Bond, J. B. Ward, Jr. and M. S. Legator (1993). "Use of haemoglobin adducts for biomonitoring exposure to 1,3-butadiene." IARC Sci Publ(127): 127-34.

Perez, H. L., J. Lahdetie, H. Landin, I. Kilpelainen, P. Koivisto, K. Peltonen and S. Osterman-Golkar (1997). "Haemoglobin adducts of epoxybutanediol from exposure to 1,3-butadiene or butadiene epoxides." Chem Biol Interact 105(3): 181-98.



Pluym, N., G. Gilch, G. Scherer, and M. Scherer. "Analysis of 18 Urinary Mercapturic Acids by Two High-Throughput Multiplex-Lc-Ms/Ms Methods (2015)." *Anal Bioanal Chem* 407, no. 18: 5463-76.

Roethig H. J., S. Munjal , S. Feng , Q. Liang , M. Sarkar, R.-A. Walk , P. E. Mendes (2009). "Population estimates for biomarkers of exposure to cigarette smoke in adult U.S. cigarette smokers." Nicotine Tob. Res. 11(10): 1216-1225

Sapkota, A., R. U. Halden, F. Dominici, J. D. Groopman and T. J. Buckley (2006). "Urinary biomarkers of 1,3-butadiene in environmental settings using liquid chromatography isotope dilution tandem mass spectrometry." Chem Biol Interact 160(1): 70-9.

Sangaraju, D., P. W. Villalta, S. Wickramaratne, J. Swenberg, and N. Tretyakova (2014). "Nanolc/Esi+ Hrms3 Quantitation of DNA Adducts Induced by 1,3-Butadiene." *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom* 25, no. 7: 1124-35.

Sarkar M., R. Muhammad-Kah, Q. Liang, S. Kapur, S. Feng, H. Roethig (2013). "Evaluation of spot urine as an alternative to 24h urine collection for determination of biomarkers of exposure to cigarette smoke in adult smokers". Environmental toxicology and pharmacology 36(1): 108–114.

Sarkar M., S. Kapur, K. Frost-Pineda, S. Feng, J. Wang, Q. Liang, H. Roethig (2008). "Evaluation of biomarkers of exposure to selected cigarette smoke constituents in adult smokers switched to carbon-filtered cigarettes in short-term and long-term clinical studies". Nicotine Tob Res 10(12): 1761-1772.

Schettgen, T., A. Musiol, A. Alt, E. Ochsmann, and T. Kraus (2009). "A Method for the Quantification of Biomarkers of Exposure to Acrylonitrile and 1,3-Butadiene in Human Urine by Column-Switching Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry." *Anal Bioanal Chem* 393, no. 3: 969-81.

Sorsa, M., S. Osterman-Golkar, K. Peltonen, S. T. Saarikoski and R. Sram (1996a). "Assessment of exposure to butadiene in the process industry." Toxicology 113(1-3): 77-83.

Sorsa, M., K. Peltonen, D. Anderson, N. A. Demopoulos, H. G. Neumann and S. Osterman-Golkar (1996b). "Assessment of environmental and occupational exposures to butadiene as a model for risk estimation of petrochemical emissions." Mutagenesis 11(1): 9-17.

Swenberg, J. A., N. I. Christova-Gueorguieva, P. B. Upton, A. Ranasinghe, N. Scheller, K. Y. Wu, T. Y. Yen and R. Hayes (2000). "1,3-butadiene: cancer, mutations, and adducts. Part V: Hemoglobin adducts as biomarkers of 1,3-butadiene exposure and metabolism." Res Rep Health Eff Inst(92): 191-210; discussion 211-9.

Urban, M., G. Gilch, G. Schepers, E. van Miert and G. Scherer (2003). "Determination of the major mercapturic acids of 1,3-butadiene in human and rat urine using liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry." J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 796(1): 131-40.

Vacek, P. M., R. J. Albertini, R. J. Sram, P. Upton, and J. A. Swenberg (2010). "Hemoglobin Adducts in 1,3-Butadiene Exposed Czech Workers: Female-Male Comparisons." *Chem Biol Interact* 188, no. 3: 668-76.

Van Sittert, N. J., H. J. Megens, W. P. Watson and P. J. Boogaard (2000). "Biomarkers of exposure to 1,3-butadiene as a basis for cancer risk assessment." Toxicol Sci 56(1): 189-202.

Ward, J. B., Jr., M. M. Ammenheuser, E. B. Whorton, Jr., W. E. Bechtold, K. T. Kelsey and M. S. Legator (1996). "Biological monitoring for mutagenic effects of occupational exposure to butadiene." Toxicology 113(1-3): 84-90.



Yuan J. M., Y. Gao, R. wang, M. Chen, S. G. Carmella, S. S. Hecht (2012). "Urinary levels of volatile organic carcinogen and toxicant biomarkers in relation to lung cancer development in smokers." Carcinogenesis 33(4): 804-809

Zhao, C., P. Vodicka, R. J. Sram and K. Hemminki (2000). "Human DNA adducts of 1,3-butadiene, an important environmental carcinogen." Carcinogenesis 21(1): 107-11.

Zhang X., H. Houb, H. Chen, Y. Liu, A. Wang, Q. Hu (2015). "A column-switching LC–MS/MS method for simultaneous quantification of biomarkers for 1,3-butadiene exposure and oxidative damage in human urine". Journal of Chromatography B 1002: 123-129