

REGISTRATION REPORT

Part A

Risk Management

Product code: LBG-51FCm

Product name(s): VAHINE

Active Substance(s):

captan, 360 g/L

potassium phosphonates, 660 g/L

COUNTRY: FRANCE

Southern Zone

Zonal Rapporteur Member State: France

NATIONAL ASSESSMENT FRANCE

(New application)

Applicant: ADAMA France S.A.S.

Date: 21/12/2018

Table of Contents

1	DETAILS OF THE APPLICATION.....	3
1.1	APPLICATION BACKGROUND.....	3
1.2	ACTIVE SUBSTANCE APPROVAL.....	3
1.3	REGULATORY APPROACH	4
1.4	DATA PROTECTION CLAIMS	5
1.5	LETTER(S) OF ACCESS	5
2	DETAILS OF THE AUTHORISATION	6
2.1	PRODUCT IDENTITY	6
2.2	CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING.....	6
2.2.1	<i>Classification and labelling in accordance with Regulation (EC) No1272/2008</i>	<i>6</i>
2.2.2	<i>Other phrases in compliance with Regulation (EU) No 547/2011</i>	<i>7</i>
2.2.3	<i>Other phrases linked to the preparation</i>	<i>7</i>
2.3	PRODUCT USES.....	8
3	RISK MANAGEMENT.....	12
3.1	REASONED STATEMENT OF THE OVERALL CONCLUSIONS TAKEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM PRINCIPLES.....	12
3.1.1	<i>Physical and chemical properties</i>	<i>12</i>
3.1.2	<i>Methods of analysis</i>	<i>12</i>
3.1.3	<i>Mammalian Toxicology.....</i>	<i>12</i>
3.1.4	<i>Residues and Consumer Exposure.....</i>	<i>13</i>
3.1.5	<i>Environmental fate and behaviour.....</i>	<i>15</i>
3.1.6	<i>Ecotoxicology.....</i>	<i>15</i>
3.1.7	<i>Efficacy</i>	<i>16</i>
3.2	CONCLUSIONS ARISING FROM FRENCH ASSESSMENT	17
3.3	SUBSTANCES OF CONCERN FOR NATIONAL MONITORING	17
3.4	FURTHER INFORMATION TO PERMIT A DECISION TO BE MADE OR TO SUPPORT A REVIEW OF THE CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE AUTHORISATION	17
3.4.1	<i>Post-authorisation monitoring</i>	<i>17</i>
3.4.2	<i>Label amendments</i>	<i>17</i>
	APPENDIX 1 – COPY OF THE FRENCH DECISION	18
	APPENDIX 2 – COPY OF THE DRAFT PRODUCT LABEL AS PROPOSED BY THE APPLICANT	22
	APPENDIX 3 – LETTER(S) OF ACCESS	23

PART A – Risk Management

The company ADAMA France S.A.S. has requested the marketing authorisation in France for the product VAHINE (product code: LBG-51FCm), containing 360 g/L captan and 660 g/L potassium phosphonates for use as a fungicide.

The risk assessment conclusions are based on the information, data and assessments provided in Registration Report, Part B Sections 1-7 and Part C, and where appropriate the addenda for France. The information, data and assessments provided in Registration Report, Part B include assessment of further data or information as required at national registration by the EU peer review. It also includes assessment of data and information relating to VAHINE (LBG-51FCm) where those data have not been considered in the EU peer review process. Otherwise assessments for the safe use of VAHINE (LBG-51FCm) have been made using endpoints agreed in the EU peer review(s) of both captan and potassium phosphonates.

This document describes the specific conditions of use and labelling required for France for the registration of VAHINE (LBG-51FCm).

Appendix 1 of this document provides a copy of the French Decision.

Appendix 2 of this document is a copy of the draft product label as proposed by the applicant.

Appendix 3 of this document is a copy of the letter(s) of Access.

1 DETAILS OF THE APPLICATION

1.1 Application background

The present registration report concerns the evaluation of ADAMA France S.A.S.'s application to market VAHINE (LBG-51FCm) in France as a fungicide (product uses described under point 2.3). France acted as a zonal Rapporteur Member State (zRMS) for this request and assessed the application submitted for the first authorisation of this product in France and in other MSs of the Southern zone.

1.2 Active substance approval

Captan

Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances.

Specific provisions of Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 were as follows :

PART A

Only uses as fungicide can be authorised.

PART B

In assessing applications to authorise plant protection products containing captan for uses other than tomatoes Member States shall pay particular attention to the criteria in Article 4(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and shall ensure that any necessary data and information is provided before such an authorisation is granted. For the implementation of the uniform principles as referred to in Article 29(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, the conclusions of the review report on captan, and in particular Appendices I and II thereof, as finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health on 29 September 2006 shall be taken into account. In this overall assessment Member States must pay particular attention to:

- the operators and workers safety. Authorised conditions of use must prescribe the application of adequate personal protective equipment and risk mitigation measures to reduce the exposure;
- the dietary exposure of consumers in view of future revisions of Maximum Residue Levels;
- the protection of groundwater under vulnerable conditions. Conditions of authorisation should include risk

<p>mitigation measures and monitoring programmes should be initiated in vulnerable zones, where appropriate;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- the protection of birds, mammals and aquatic organisms. Conditions of authorisation should include risk mitigation measures.- The Member States concerned shall request the submission of further studies to confirm the long term risk assessment for birds and mammals, as well as the toxicological assessment on metabolites potentially present in groundwater under vulnerable conditions. They shall ensure that the notifiers at whose request captan has been included in this Annex provide such studies to the Commission within two years from the approval.
<p>An EFSA conclusion is available (EFSA Journal 2014;12(4):3663).</p> <p>A Review Report is available (SANCO/10030/2006 – rev. 4, 11 July 2008).</p>

Potassium phosphonates

<p>Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 369/2013 of 22 April 2013 approving the active substance potassium phosphonates, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, and amending the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011.</p>
<p>Specific provisions of Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 were as follows :</p> <p>For the implementation of the uniform principles as referred to in Article 29(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, the conclusions of the review report on potassium phosphonates, and in particular Appendices I and II thereof, as finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health on 15 March 2013, shall be taken into account. In this overall assessment Member States shall pay particular attention to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- the risk to birds and mammals,- the risk of eutrophication of surface water, if the substance is applied in regions or under conditions favouring a quick oxidation of the active substance in surface water. <p>Conditions of use shall include risk mitigation measures, where appropriate.</p> <p>The applicant shall submit confirmatory information as regards the long-term risk to insectivorous birds. The applicant shall submit to the Commission, the Member States and the Authority that information by 30 September 2015.</p>
<p>An EFSA conclusion is available (EFSA Journal 2012;10(12):2963).</p> <p>A Review Report is available (SANCO/10416/2013 rev 2, 15 March 2013).</p>

1.3 Regulatory approach

The present application (2016-0093) was evaluated in France by the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (Anses)¹ in the context of the zonal procedure for all Member States of the Southern zone, taking into account the worst-case uses (“risk envelope approach”)² – the highest application rates over the Southern Zone. When risk mitigation measures were necessary, they are adapted to the situation in France.

According to the French law and procedures, specific conditions of use are set out in the Decision letter.

¹ French Food Safety Agency, Afssa, before 1 July 2010.

² SANCO document “risk envelope approach”, European Commission (14 March 2011). Guidance document on the preparation and submission of dossiers for plant protection products according to the “risk envelope approach”; SANCO/11244/2011 rev.5.

The French Order of 4th May 2017³ provides that:

- unless formally stated in the product authorisation, the pre harvest interval (PHI) is at least three days;
- unless formally stated in the product authorisation, the minimum buffer zone alongside a water body is five metres;
- unless formally stated in the product authorisation, the minimum re-entry period is six hours for field uses and eight hours for indoor uses.

Drift reduction measures such as low-drift nozzles are not considered within the decision-making process in France. However, drift buffer zones may be reduced under some circumstances as explained in Appendix 3 of the above-mentioned French Order.

The current document (RR) based on Anses's assessment of the application submitted for this product is in compliance with Regulation (EC) no 1107/2009⁴, implementing regulations, and French regulations.

The data taken into account are those deemed to be valid either at European Union level or at zonal/national level. This part A of the RR presents a summary of essential scientific points upon which recommendations are based and is not intended to show the assessment in detail.

The conclusions relating to the acceptability of risk are based on the criteria indicated in Regulation (EU) No 546/2011⁵, and are expressed as “acceptable” or “not acceptable” in accordance with those criteria.

Finally, the French Order of 26 March 2014⁶ provides that:

- an authorisation granted for a “reference” crop applies also for “linked” crops, unless formally stated in the Decision.
- the “reference” and “linked” crops are defined in Appendix 1 of that French Order.

Thus, at French national level, possible extrapolation of submitted data and the corresponding assessment from “reference” crops to “linked” ones are undertaken even if not clearly requested by the applicant in their dRR, and a conclusion is reached on the acceptability of the intended uses on those “linked” crops. The aim of this Order, mainly based on the EU document on residue data extrapolation⁷ is to supply “minor” crops with registered plant protection products.

Therefore the GAP table (Section 2.3) and Decision may include uses on crops not originally requested by the applicant.

The Decision, as reproduced in Appendix 1, takes also into account national provisions, including national mitigation measures.

1.4 Data protection claims

Where protection for data is being claimed for information supporting registration of VAHINE (LBG-51FCm), it is indicated in the reference lists in Appendix 1 of the Registration Report, Part B Sections 1-7.

1.5 Letter(s) of Access

The applicant has provided one letter of access for the active substance potassium phosphonates.

³ Arrêté du 4 mai 2017 relatif à la mise sur le marché et à l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et de leurs adjuvants visés à l'article L. 253-1 du code rural et de la pêche maritime <https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/eli/arrete/2017/5/4/AGRGI632554A/jo/texte>.

⁴ REGULATION (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC.

⁵ COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 546/2011 of 10 June 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards uniform principles for evaluation and authorisation of plant protection products.

⁶ <http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/eli/arrete/2014/3/26/AGRGI407093A/jo>.

⁷ SANCO document “guidance document: Guidelines on comparability, extrapolation, group tolerances and data requirements for setting MRLs”: SANCO/ 7525/VI/95 - rev.9.


2 DETAILS OF THE AUTHORISATION

2.1 Product identity

Product name (code)	VAHINE (LBG-51FCm)
Authorisation number	N/A: No marketing authorisation granted
Function	fungicide
Applicant	ADAMA France S.A.S.
Composition	360 g/L captan 660 g/L potassium phosphonates
Formulation type (code)	Suspension concentrate (SC)
Packaging	-

2.2 Classification and labelling

2.2.1 Classification and labelling in accordance with Regulation (EC) No1272/2008

Physical hazards	-	
Health hazards	Skin sensitization - Category 1B Eye irritation - Category 2 Acute inhalation toxicity - Category 4 Carcinogenicity - Category 2	
Environmental hazards	Hazardous to the aquatic environment - Acute Hazard Category 1	
Hazard pictograms		
Signal word	Warning	
Hazard statements	H317	May cause an allergic skin reaction.
	H319	Causes serious eye irritation.
	H332	Harmful if inhaled.
	H351	Suspected of causing cancer.
	H400	Very toxic to aquatic life.
Precautionary statements –	<i>For the P phrases, refer to the extant legislation</i>	
Supplementary information (in accordance with Article 25 of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008)	Contains 1,2-benzisothiazol-3(2H)-one.	

See Part C for justifications of the classification and labelling proposals.

2.2.2 Other phrases in compliance with Regulation (EU) No 547/2011

N/A: Not registered in France.

2.2.3 Other phrases linked to the preparation

N/A: Not registered in France.

2.3 Product uses

Please note: The GAP Table below reports the intended uses proposed by the applicant evaluated and concluded as safe uses by France as zRMS. When the conclusion is “not acceptable”, the intended use is highlighted in grey and the main reason(s) reported in the remarks.

PPP (product name/code):	VAHINE (LBG-51FCm)	Formulation type:	SC ^(a, b)
Active substance 1:	captan	Conc. of as 1:	360 g/L ^(c)
Active substance 2:	potassium phosphonates	Conc. of as 2:	660 g/L ^(c)
Safener:	none	Conc. of safener:	conc. ^(c)
Synergist:	none	Conc. of synergist:	conc. ^(c)
Applicant:	ADAMA France S.A.S.	Professional use:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Zone(s):	southern ^(d)	Non professional use:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Verified by MS:	yes		
Field of use:	fungicide		

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Use- No. ^(e)	Member state(s)	Crop and/ or situation (crop destination / purpose of crop)	F, Fn, Fpn G, Gn, Gpn or I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. g safener/synergist per ha ^(f)
					Method / Kind	Timing / Growth stage of crop & season	Max. number a) per use b) per crop/ season	Min. interval between applications (days)	kg or L product / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g or kg as/ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min / max		

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Use- No. ^(e)	Member state(s)	Crop and/ or situation (crop destination / purpose of crop)	F, Fn, Fpn G, Gn, Gpn or I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. g safener/synergist per ha (f)
					Method / Kind	Timing / Growth stage of crop & season	Max. number a) per use b) per crop/ season	Min. interval between applications (days)	kg or L product / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g or kg as/ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min / max		
Zonal uses (field or outdoor uses, certain types of protected crops)													
New 1A	France	Apple, pear, quince	F	Scab <i>(Venturia inaequalis / Venturia pirina)</i> , Brown spot <i>(Stemphylium vesicarium)</i>	Foliar spray	BBCH 09 (leaf emergence) – 81 March – mid of June*	a) 6 b) 6	(7 days)	a) 3 L/ha b) 18 L /ha	a) 1.080+1.320 b) 6.48+7.92	500- 1500	28*	Not acceptable (aquatic organisms risk) Not acceptable (risk for workers and resident (children and adults)) * for early pome fruit varieties, 28 d PHI; for late pome fruit varieties, last application by mid of June
New 1B	France	Apple, pear, quince	F	Scab <i>(Venturia inaequalis / Venturia pirina)</i> , Brown spot <i>(Stemphylium vesicarium)</i>	Foliar spray	BBCH 09 (leaf emergence) – 81 March – mid of July*	a) 4 b) 4	(7 days)	a) 3 L/ha b) 12 L /ha	a) 1.080+1.320 b) 4.32+5.28	500- 1500	28*	Not acceptable (risk for workers and resident (children and adults)) * for early pome fruit varieties, 28d PHI; for late pome fruit varieties, last application by mid of July

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Use- No. ^(e)	Member state(s)	Crop and/ or situation (crop destination / purpose of crop)	F, Fn, Fpn G, Gn, Gpn or I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. g safener/synergist per ha (f)
					Method / Kind	Timing / Growth stage of crop & season	Max. number a) per use b) per crop/ season	Min. interval between applications (days)	kg or L product / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g or kg as/ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min / max		
New 2	France	Apple, pear, quince	F	Foliar diseases: ashy leaf spot (<i>Mycosphaerella pyri</i>) rust (<i>Gymnosporangium fuscum</i>) and <i>Entomosporium maculatum</i> . Early diseases on fruits: <i>Sooty blotch (Gloeodes pomigena)</i> , flyspeck (<i>Schizothyrium pomi</i>) <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> , <i>Botryosphaeria obtuse</i> .	Foliar spray	BBCH 71 – 81 April – mid of July*	a) 4 b) 4	(7 days)	a) 3 L/ha b) 12 L /ha	a) 1.080+1.320 b) 4.32 + 5.28	500- 1500	28*	Not acceptable (risk for workers and resident (children and adults)) * for early pome fruit varieties, 28d PHI; for late pome fruit varieties, last application by mid of July Efficacy shown on <i>Mycosphaerella pyri</i> , <i>Gymnosporangium fuscum</i> , <i>Entomosporium maculatum</i> , <i>Gloeodes pomigena</i> and <i>Schizothyrium pomi</i>

**Remarks
table
heading:**

- (a) e.g. wettable powder (WP), emulsifiable concentrate (EC), granule (GR).
(b) Catalogue of pesticide formulation types and international coding system CropLife
International Technical Monograph n°2, 6th Edition Revised May 2008.
(c) g/kg or g/L.

- (d) Select relevant.
(e) Use number(s) in accordance with the list of all intended GAPs in Part B, Section 0 should be
given in column 1.
(f) No authorisation possible for uses where the line is highlighted in grey, Use should be crossed
out when the notifier no longer supports this use.

Remarks columns:	1	Numeration necessary to allow references.	7	Growth stage at first and last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including where relevant, information on season at time of application.
	2	Use official codes/nomenclatures of EU Member States.	8	The maximum number of application possible under practical conditions of use must be provided.
	3	For crops, the EU and Codex classifications (both) should be used; when relevant, the use situation should be described (e.g. fumigation of a structure).	9	Minimum interval (in days) between applications of the same product.
	4	F: professional field use, Fn: non-professional field use, Fpn: professional and non-professional field use, G: professional greenhouse use, Gn: non-professional greenhouse use, Gpn: professional and non-professional greenhouse use, I: indoor application.	10	For specific uses other specifications might be possible, e.g.: g/m ³ in case of fumigation of empty rooms. See also EPPO-Guideline PP 1/239 Dose expression for plant protection products.
	5	Scientific names and EPPO-Codes of target pests/diseases/ weeds or, when relevant, the common names of the pest groups (e.g. biting and sucking insects, soil born insects, foliar fungi, weeds) and the developmental stages of the pests and pest groups at the moment of application must be named.	11	The dimension (g, kg) must be clearly specified. (Maximum) dose of a.s. per treatment (usually g, kg or L product / ha).
	6	Method, e.g. high volume spraying, low volume spraying, spreading, dusting, drench Kind, e.g. overall, broadcast, aerial spraying, row, individual plant, between the plants - type of equipment used must be indicated.	12	If water volume range depends on application equipments (e.g. ULVA or LVA) it should be mentioned under “application: method/kind”.
			13	PHI - minimum pre-harvest interval.
			14	Remarks may include: Extent of use/economic importance/restrictions.

3 RISK MANAGEMENT

3.1 Reasoned statement of the overall conclusions taken in accordance with the Uniform Principles

3.1.1 Physical and chemical properties

The preparation VAHINE (LBG-51FCm) is a green (olive like), viscous suspension, with specific organic odour (suspension concentrate), containing 360 g/L of captan and 660 g/L of potassium phosphonates. All studies have been performed in accordance with the current requirements and the results are deemed to be acceptable. It is not explosive and has no oxidising properties. The product is not flammable. It has a self- ignition temperature of 325 °C. In aqueous solution (1%), it has a pH value of 4.59 at 21.2°C. There is no effect of low and high temperature on the stability of the formulation, since after 7 days at 0°C and 8 weeks at 40°C, neither the active ingredient content nor the technical properties were changed. However phase separation was noticed after low temperature storage. The stability data (interim report) indicate a shelf life of 1 year at ambient temperature when stored in HDPE packaging. Results of the 2 year shelf life study are required in post authorisation. Its technical characteristics are acceptable for an SC formulation. The formulation must be stored at a temperature below 40°C. The formulation must be stirred before use.

3.1.2 Methods of analysis

3.1.2.1 Analytical method for the formulation

Analytical methods for the determination of the active substances and the relevant impurities (folpet, carbon tetrachloride, perchloromethylmercaptan from captan) in the formulation are available and validated.

Analytical methods are available in the Draft Assessment Report and this dossier and validated for the determination of residues of potassium phosphonates and captan in plants (high water content crops), food of animal origin, soil, water (surface and drinking) and air.

3.1.2.2 Analytical methods for residues

An analytical method is available in this dossier and validated for the determination of residues of captan in tissues and body fluids.

3.1.3 Mammalian Toxicology

3.1.3.1 Acute Toxicity

VAHINE (LBG-51FCm) containing 360 g/L of captan and 660 g/L of potassium phosphonate has a low toxicity in respect to acute oral and dermal toxicity and is not irritating to the rabbit skin. It is irritating to the rabbit eye and is a skin sensitiser.

3.1.3.2 Operator Exposure

Summary of critical use patterns (worst cases):

Crop	F/G ⁸	Equipment	Application rate kg/L product/ha (g as/ha)	Spray dilution (L/ha)	Model
Apple	F	Tractor-mounted sprayer	3 L product/ ha (1.08 kg captan/ ha and 1.98 kg potassium phosphonate/ ha)	500-1500	AOEM

Considering proposed uses, operator systemic exposure was estimated using the AOEM model

⁸ Open field or glasshouse.

Crop	Equipment	PPE and/or working coverall	% AOEL captan	% AOEL potassium phosphonate
Apple	Tractor-mounted sprayer	Working coverall and gloves during mixing/loading and application	58	0.5

According to the model calculations, it can be concluded that the risk for the operator using VAHINE (LBG-51FCm) is acceptable with a working coverall (90% protection factor) and gloves during mixing/loading and application.

For details of personal protective equipment for operators, refer to the Decision in Appendix 1.

3.1.3.3 Bystander Exposure

Consideration of acute exposure should only be made where an AAOEL has been established during an approval, review or renewal evaluation of an active substance, i.e. no acute operator or bystander exposure assessments can be performed with the AOEM model where no AAOEL has been set⁹.

Only resident exposure is provided since, according to EFSA Guidance on the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents and bystanders in risk assessment for plant protection products (EFSA Journal 2014;12(10):3874): “No bystander risk assessment is required for PPPs that do not have significant acute toxicity or the potential to exert toxic effects after a single exposure. Exposure in this case will be determined by average exposure over a longer duration, and higher exposures on one day will tend to be offset by lower exposures on other days. Therefore, exposure assessment for residents also covers bystander exposure.”

3.1.3.4 Worker Exposure

Workers may have to enter treated areas after treatment for crop searching, reaching and picking activities. Therefore, estimation of worker exposure was calculated according to AOEM model. Exposure is estimated to 930 % of the AOEL of captan and 5.9% of the AOEL of potassium phosphonate.

It is concluded that without taking into account a re-entry period, there is an unacceptable risk anticipated for workers wearing a working coverall and gloves, when re-entering crops treated with VAHINE (LBG-51FCm).

A field study had been provided by the applicant. Nevertheless, it has not been considered acceptable.

For details of personal protective equipment for workers, refer to the Decision in Appendix 1.

3.1.4 Residues and Consumer Exposure

The data available are considered sufficient for risk assessment. An exceedance of the current MRLs of 10 mg/kg for captan and 150 mg/kg for fosetyl as laid down in Reg. (EU) 396/2005 is not expected.

As far as consumer health protection is concerned, Anses, France agrees with the authorization of the intended use(s).

The preparation VAHINE (LGB-51FCm) is composed of captan and potassium phosphonates.

⁹ Guidance on the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents and bystanders in risk assessment for plant protection products (SANTE-10832-2015 rev. 1.7, 2017).

3.1.4.1 Summary for potassium phosphonates

Use- No.*	Crop	Plant metabolism covered?	Sufficient residue trials?	PHI sufficiently supported?	Sample storage covered by stability data?	MRL compliance Reg 2018/832	Chronic risk for consumers identified?	Acute risk for consumers identified?	Comments
1	Pome fruits	Yes	Yes (8 NEU and 8 SEU)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	-

The effects of processing on the nature of potassium phosphonates residues have been investigated. Data on effects of processing on the amount of residue have been submitted.

These data were not considered for risk assessment.

Since pome fruits are perennial crops, there is no need to investigate residues in succeeding crops.

Considering dietary burden and based on the intended uses, no significant modification of the intake was calculated for livestock. Further investigation of residues as well as the modification of MRLs in commodities of animal origin is therefore not necessary.

3.1.4.2 Summary for captan

Use- No.*	Crop	Plant metabolism covered?	Sufficient residue trials?	PHI sufficiently supported?	Sample storage covered by stability data?	MRL compliance Reg 2016/452	Chronic risk for consumers identified?	Acute risk for consumers identified?	Comments
1	Pome fruits	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	-

The effects of processing on the nature of captan residues have been investigated. Data on effects of processing on the amount of residue have been submitted.

These data were considered for risk assessment, especially for apple juice.

Since pome fruits are perennial crops, there is no need to investigate residues in succeeding crops.

Considering dietary burden and based on the intended uses, no significant modification of the intake was calculated for livestock. Further investigation of residues as well as the modification of MRLs in commodities of animal origin is therefore not necessary.

3.1.4.3 Summary for VAHINE (LBG-51FCm)

Crop	PHI for LBG-51FCm proposed by applicant	PHI/ Withholding period* sufficiently supported for		PHI for LBG-51FCm proposed by zRMS	zRMS Comments (if different PHI proposed)
		Potassium phosphonates	Captan		
Pome fruits	28 days	Yes	Yes	28 days	/

Waiting periods before planting succeeding crops.

Not relevant.

3.1.5 Environmental fate and behaviour

The fate and behaviour in the environment have been evaluated according to the requirements of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Appropriate endpoints from the EU conclusions were used to calculate PEC values for the active substances and their metabolites for the intended use patterns. In cases where deviations from the EU agreed endpoints were considered appropriate (for example when additional studies are provided), such deviations were highlighted and justified accordingly.

The PEC of captane, phosphonic acid equivalents and their metabolites in soil, surface water and groundwater have been assessed according to FOCUS guidance documents, with standard FOCUS scenarios to obtain outputs from the FOCUS models, and the endpoints established in the EU conclusions or agreed in the assessment based on new data provided.

PEC soil and PEC_{sw} derived for the active substances and their metabolites are used for the ecotoxicological risk assessment, and mitigation measures are proposed.

PEC_{gw} for active substances and their metabolites do not occur at levels exceeding those mentioned in regulation EC 1107/2009, guidance document SANCO 221/2000¹⁰ and in Directive 98/83/CE¹¹.

Therefore, no unacceptable risk of groundwater contamination is expected for the intended uses.

Based on vapour pressure, information on volatilisation from plants and soil, and DT50 calculation, no significant contamination of the air compartment is expected for the intended uses.

3.1.6 Ecotoxicology

The ecotoxicological risk assessment of the formulation was performed according to the requirements of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Appropriate endpoints from the EU conclusions for the active substance(s) and its/their metabolites were used for the intended use patterns. In cases where deviations from the EU agreed endpoints were considered appropriate (for example when additional studies are provided), such deviations were highlighted and justified accordingly.

Based on the guidance documents, the risks for birds, aquatic organisms, mammals, bees and other non-target arthropods, earthworms, other soil macro-organisms and micro-organisms and terrestrial plants are acceptable for the intended uses.

For aquatic organisms, mitigation measures (20 m unsprayed buffer zone included a 5 m vegetated filter strip, to not apply on drained soil, to not apply more than 4 times per season, to not apply before BBCH stage 69) are required to conclude to an acceptable risk.

¹⁰ Guidance document on the assessment of the relevance of metabolites in groundwater of substances regulated under Council directive 91/414/EEC. Sanco/221/2000-rev10-final, 25 February 2003.

¹¹ Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for human consumption.

According to the regulation (EU) 284/2013, information on the chronic toxicity for adult bees and on brood development of the formulated product should have been provided. As such data are not available the following restriction has to be applied: do not apply this formulation during flowering period.

3.1.7 Efficacy

Considering the data submitted:

- the efficacy level of VAHINE (LBG-51FCm) is considered as satisfactory for all the claimed uses.
- the phytotoxicity level of VAHINE (LBG-51FCm) is considered as acceptable for all the claimed uses.
- the risks of negative impact on yield, quality, cider-making, propagation and adjacent crops are considered as negligible.
- the risk of resistance development or appearance to captan and potassium phosphonate does not require a monitoring for the claimed uses.

Restrictions: None

Resistance monitoring data: None

Post-authorization data: None

3.2 Conclusions arising from French assessment

Taking into account the above assessment, considering that unacceptable risks are expected for workers, and resident (children and adults) an authorisation **cannot be granted** in France – see Copy of the product Decision.

3.3 Substances of concern for national monitoring

N/A : Not registered in France.

3.4 Further information to permit a decision to be made or to support a review of the conditions and restrictions associated with the authorisation

3.4.1 Post-authorisation monitoring

N/A : Not registered in France.

3.4.2 Label amendments

N/A : Not registered in France.

Appendix 1 – Copy of the French Decision



Décision relative à une demande d'autorisation de mise sur le marché d'un produit phytopharmaceutique

Vu les dispositions du règlement (CE) N° 1107/2009 du 21 octobre 2009 et de ses textes d'application,

Vu le code rural et de la pêche maritime, notamment le chapitre III du titre V du livre II des parties législative et réglementaire,

*Vu la demande d'autorisation de mise sur le marché et les demandes associées du produit phytopharmaceutique **VAHINE***

de la société ADAMA FRANCE SAS

enregistrées sous les n°2016-0093, 2016-0094 et 2017-0901

Vu les conclusions de l'évaluation de l'Anses du 25 juin 2018,

Vu les éléments complémentaires fournis par la direction de l'évaluation des produits réglementés le 14 décembre 2018,

Considérant que l'estimation de l'exposition, liée à l'utilisation du produit VAHINE, pour les usages revendiqués, est supérieure au niveau acceptable d'exposition à la substance active captane pour le travailleur et le résident,

Considérant qu'il ne peut pas être établi que les exigences mentionnées à l'article 29 du règlement (CE) n°1107/2009 relatives à l'absence d'effet nocif pour la santé humaine sont respectées,

La mise sur le marché du produit phytopharmaceutique désigné ci-après **n'est pas autorisée** en France.



Informations générales sur le produit	
Noms du produit	VAHINE MOOREA MERPAN PLUS
Type de produit	Produit de référence
Titulaire	ADAMA FRANCE SAS 33 Rue de Verdun 92156 SURESNES France
Formulation	Suspension concentrée (SC)
Contenant	660 g/L - phosphonates de potassium 360 g/L - captane
Numéro d'intrant	061-2016.01
Numéro d'AMM	-
Fonction	Fongicide
Gamme d'usage	Professionnel

A Maisons-Alfort le, 21 DEC. 2018

Françoise WEBER
Directrice générale déléguée
en charge du pôle produits réglementés
Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de
l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail (ANSES)



ANNEXE I : Conditions de mise sur le marché demandées

Classification du produit	
Catégorie de danger	Mention de danger
Sensibilisants cutanés - Catégorie 1B	H317 : Peut provoquer une allergie cutanée
Lésions oculaires graves et irritation oculaire - Catégorie 2	H319 : Provoque une sévère irritation des yeux
Toxicité aiguë par inhalation - Catégorie 4	H332 : Nocif par inhalation
Cancérogénicité - Catégorie 2	H351 : Susceptible de provoquer le cancer
Dangers pour le milieu aquatique - Danger aigu, Catégorie 1	H400 : Très toxique pour les organismes aquatiques
Pour les phrases P se référer à la réglementation en vigueur.	



Liste des usages refusés

Usages	Dose d'emploi	Nombre maximum d'applications	Délai avant récolte (jours)
12613203 Pommier*Trt Part.Aer.* Maladies du feuillage	3 L/ha	4/an	28
Motivation du refus : L'usage est refusé en raison d'un risque inacceptable pour les travailleurs et pour les résidents. L'usage revendiqué avec un délai avant récolte correspondant à une dernière application mi-juillet pour les variétés tardives est également refusé pour les mêmes raisons.			
12603211 Pommier*Trt Part.Aer.* Maladies précoces des fruits	3 L/ha	4/an	28
Motivation du refus : L'usage est refusé en raison d'un risque inacceptable pour les travailleurs et pour les résidents. L'usage revendiqué avec un délai avant récolte correspondant à une dernière application mi-juillet pour les variétés tardives est également refusé pour les mêmes raisons.			
12613208 Pommier*Trt Part.Aer.* Stemphyliose	3 L/ha	4/an	28
Motivation du refus : L'usage est refusé en raison d'un risque inacceptable pour les travailleurs et pour les résidents. Les usages revendiqués à 6 applications/an et avec un délai avant récolte correspondant à une dernière application mi-juin ou mi-juillet pour les variétés tardives sont également refusés pour les mêmes raisons.			
12603203 Pommier*Trt Part.Aer.* Tavelure(s)	3 L/ha	4/an	28
Motivation du refus : L'usage est refusé en raison d'un risque inacceptable pour les travailleurs et pour les résidents. Les usages revendiqués à 6 applications par an et avec un délai avant récolte correspondant à une dernière application mi-juin ou mi-juillet sont également refusés pour les mêmes raisons.			

VAHINE
AMM n°:

Page 4 sur 4

Appendix 2 – Copy of the draft product label as proposed by the applicant

[illegible]

Appendix 3 – Letter(s) of Access

Provided upon request.